
T H E
I D E A
OF A
Patriot King.



The Author's PREFACE.

To — —

REVISING some Letters I writ to my Lord ***; I found in one of them a great deal said concerning the *Duties* which Men owe to their *Country*, those Men particularly who live under a *free Constitution* of Government; with a strong application of these General Doctrines to the *present State* of Great Britain, and to the *Characters* of the present Actors on this Stage.

A

I saw

2 *The Author's Preface.*

I saw no reason to alter, none even to soften, any thing there advanc'd. On the contrary, it came into my mind to carry these Considerations further, and to delineate (for I pretend not to make a perfect draught) the *Duties of a King to his Country*, of those Kings particularly who are appointed by the People, (for I know of none who are anointed by God) to rule in *limited Monarchies*: After which I proposed to apply the General Doctrines in this case, as strongly and as directly as in the other, to the *present State* of Great Britain; tho' not to the *Characters* of those who rule, and are to rule, over us.

I am not one of those Oriental Slaves, who think it unlawful presumption to look their Kings in the face; neither am I sway'd by my Lord Bacon's authority to think this custom good and reasonable in its *Meaning*, tho' it favours of barbarism in its *Institution*, *ritu quidem barbarus, sed significatione bonus*. Quite on the contrary; it seems to me that no Secrets are so important to be known, and no Hearts deserve to be pryed into, with more curiosity

sity and attention, than those of Princes. But many things have concurred, besides Age and Temper, to set me at a great distance from the present Court. Far from prying into the Hearts, I scarce know the Faces of our Royal Family, and ought therefore, on all accounts, to decline all such particular Application.

The Principles I have reason'd upon in my Letter to my Lord * * * and those I shall reason upon here, are the same. They are laid in the same System of human Nature. They are drawn from that Source from whence all the Duties of publick and private Morality must be derived, or they will be often falsely, and always precariously, established.

By you, Sir, and such as You, I shall be understood, and approved; and far from fearing the Censure or the Ridicule, I should reproach my self with the Applause of other Men, who measure their Interest by their Passion, and their Duty by the Example of a corrupt Age, that is, by the Example they afford to one another. Such are the greatest part of the present Generation; and such we may justly ap-

4 *The Author's Preface.*

prehend the next will be, since they set out into the World under a Direction that will incline them strongly to the same course of Self-Interest, Profligacy, and Corruption.

The Iniquity of all principal Men in any Community, of Kings and Ministers especially, does not consist alone in the Crimes they commit, and in the immediate Consequences of those Crimes, and therefore their guilt is not be measur'd by these alone: Such men sin against Posterity, as well as against their own Age, and when the Consequences of their Crimes are over, the Consequences of their Example remain. The greatest of all Iniquities which a Prince or Minister can commit, is to corrupt the Morals of Men: I say thus generally the *Morals*, because he who abandons or betrays his Country, will abandon or betray his Friend; and because he who is prevail'd on to act in Publick without any regard to Truth or Justice, will easily prevail on himself to act in the same manner every where else. A wise and honest Administration may relieve the Trade of a Nation from that oppression,

pression, and the Publick from that Load of Debts, under which a weak or wicked Administration had unhappily laid, or industriously kept it ; and may draw such a Nation back to its former Credit and Influence abroad, from that State of Contempt in which it had been held since by its Neighbours. But would the *Minds of Men*, when once narrowed to personal regards alone, would their *Views* when confin'd to the present moment, (as if Nations were mortal like the Men who compose them, and destin'd to perish with their degenerate Children) would these, I say, be so easily or so soon *enlarged*? Could their *Sentiments*, when debased from the Love of Liberty, from a Zeal for the Honour and Prosperity of their Country, and from a Desire of honest Fame, to an absolute Unconcernedness for all these, to an abject Submission, and to a rapacious Eagerness after Wealth, to satiate their Avarice, and exceed the Profusion of their Luxury ; could these (I say again) be so easily or so soon *elevated*? In a Word, could the *Spirit of Liberty*, that *Spirit* which has yet preserv'd the Rights of Mankind,
in

6 *The Author's Preface.*

in some Corners of the World at least, be so easily or so soon *re-infused*? I think not. The Progress from confirmed Habits of Evil is much more slow than the Progress to them. Virtue is not placed on a rugged Mountain of difficult and dangerous Access, as they who would excuse the Indolence of their Temper or the Perverseness of their Will, desire to have it believed, But she is seated however on an *Eminence*: We may go up to her with ease, but we must go up *gradually*, according to the natural Progression of *Reason*, who is to lead the way and to guide our steps. On the other hand, if we fall from thence, we are sure to be hurried down the Hill with a blind impetuosity, according to the natural Violence of those *Appetites* and *Passions* that caused our fall at first, and urge it on the faster, the further they are removed from the controul which before restrained them.

To perform so great a Work, as to re-infuse the *Spirit of Liberty*, to reform the *Morals*, and to raise the *Sentiments* of a People, much time is requir'd; and a Work which requires so much time may too probably

The Author's Preface. 7

bably be never compleated; considering how unsteadily and unsystematically even the best Men are apt often to proceed; and how that Reformation is to be carried forward in opposition to *publick Fashion*, and *private Inclination*, to the *Authority* of most Men *in Power*, and to the *secret Bent* of most who are *out* of Power. It is more to be wished than to be hoped, that the Contagion should spread no further, than that Leprous Race, who carry on their skins, exposed to publick sight, the scabs and blotches of their distemper. These indeed may preach Corruption aloud, like impudent Missionaries of Vice: but there may be those who whisper and insinuate the same Doctrine; and who wait perhaps only to be more authorised, that they may propagate it with greater success, and apply it to their own Use.

It seems to me, that to redeem a Nation under such Circumstances from Perdition, nothing less is necessary, than some great, some extraordinary Conjunction of ill Fortune or of good. They may be *purged*, yet *so as by fire*. Distress from abroad, Bankruptcy at home, and other circumstances
of

8 *The Author's Preface.*

of like nature and tendency may beget universal Confusion. Out of Confusion Order may arise : but it may be the Order of a wicked Tyranny, instead of the Order of a just Monarchy. Either may happen ; and such an alternative at the disposition of Fortune, is sufficient to make a Stoick tremble !

There is no ELEGIBLE Remedy that can so surely and so effectually restore the Virtue and Publick Spirit essential to the Preservation of Liberty, and well nigh lost even in Europe, as the Reign of a good and wise Prince. And let me say, that it is in *Britain* alone, and in no other part of Europe, that we can expect that most uncommon of all Phenomena in the Physical or Moral world, I mean a PATRIOT KING, to arise. *Nil oriturum alias, nil ortum tale fatentes.*

It is This Picture I presume to draw ; and I will venture to say it is no chimerical one. But that it may not be so, I shall draw it on *that Ground*, on which only it can stand, and on which only it can last ; the *Reason of Things*, immediately abstracted from the *Nature* of them.

T H E

THE
I D E A
OF A
Patriot King.

CHAP. I.

- I. *What are the Duties of Kings, from the Nature of their Institution.*
- II. *The Source of the Opinions concerning the Divine Right and Absolute Power of Kings.*

MY Intention is not to introduce what I have to say concerning the Duties of Kings, by any nice enquiry into the Original of their Institution. What is to be known of it will appear plainly enough,

B

nough, to such as are able and can spare time to trace it, in the broken Traditions which are come down to us of a few Nations. But those who are not able to trace it there, may trace something better and more worthy to be known, in their own Thoughts: I mean what this Institution *ought* to have been, whenever it began, according to the rule of *Reason*, founded in the common *Rights*, and *Interests* of *Mankind*. On this head it is quite necessary to make some reflections, that will, like angular Stones laid on a rock, support the little Fabrick (the Model however of a great Building) that I propose to raise.

So plain a matter could never have been render'd intricate and voluminous, had it not been for lawless Ambition, extravagant Vanity, and the detestable Spirit of Tyranny; abetted by the private interests of Artful Men in lower ranks, and by their Adulation and Superstition, two Vices to which that timid and staring part of Mankind is excessively prone. Neither could such Opinions have continued, had not Authority imposed on such as did not pretend to Reason, and had

had not such as did attempt to Reason been caught in the common Snares of Sophism, and bewildered in the Labyrinths of Disputation. In this case therefore, as in all those of great concernment, the shortest and the surest method of arriving at real knowledge is to *unlearn* the Lessons we have been taught, to *remount to first Principles*, and take nobody's Word about *them*; for it is about *them* that almost all the juggling and Ledgerdmain, employ'd by Men whose Trade it is to deceive, are set to work.

II. Now he who does so in this case, will discover soon, that the Notions concerning the *Divine Institution* and *Right* of Kings, as well as the *Absolute power* belonging to their Office, have no foundation in Fact or Reason, but have risen from an *Old Alliance* between *Ecclesiastical* and *Civil Policy*. The Characters of Kings and Priests have been sometimes blended together; and when they have been divided, as Kings

II. The Source of the Opinions concerning the *Divine Right* and *Absolute Power* of Kings.

have found the great Effects wrought in Government by the Empire which Priests obtain over the Consciences of Mankind, so Priests have been taught by experience that the best method to preserve their own Rank, Dignity, Wealth, and Power, (all raised on a supposed *Divine Right*) is to communicate the same Pretension to Kings, and by a fallacy common to both, impose their Usurpations on a silly World. This they have done; and in the *State* as in the *Church*, these pretensions to a *Divine Right* have been generally carry'd highest by Those, who have had the least pretension to the *Divine Favour*.

It is worth while to observe, on what Principle Men were advanced to a great pre-eminence over others, in the early Ages of the Nations that are a little known to us, if not of the World: I speak not of such as rais'd themselves by *Conquest*, but of such as were raised by *common Consent*. Now you will find in all these proceedings an intire Uniformity of Principle. The Authors of such inventions as were of general use to the Well-being of Mankind, were not only revered and obeyed during

ring their lives, but worshipped after their deaths: they became principal Gods, *Dii Majorum Gentium*. The founders of Common Wealths, the Lawgivers, and the Heroes of particular States became Gods of a second Class, *Dii minorum Gentium*. All Pre-eminence was given in Heaven, as well as on Earth, in proportion to the Benefits that Men received. *Majesty* was the first, and *Divinity* the second reward. Both were earned by Services done to Mankind, whom it was easy to lead in those Days of Simplicity and Superstition, from Admiration and Gratitude, to Adoration and Expectation.

When advantage had been taken by some particular Men of these dispositions in the generality, and Religion and Government become two Trades or Mysterys; new means of attaining to this Pre-eminence were soon devised, and new and even contrary Motives work'd the same effect. Merit had given Rank, but Rank was soon kept, and (which is more preposterous) obtained too, without Merit. Men were then made *Kings* for reasons as little relative to
good

good Government, as the Neighing of the Horse of the Son of Hyftaspes.

But the most prevalent, and the general Motive was *proximity of Blood*, to the *last*, not to the *best* King. *Nobility* in China *mounts upwards*, and he who has it conferred upon him, enobles his *Ancestors*, not his *Posterity*: A wise institution! and especially among a People in whose Minds a great veneration for their Fore-fathers has been always carefully maintain'd. But in China, as well as in most other Countries, *Royalty* has *descended*, and Kingdoms have been reckoned the Patrimonies of particular Families.

I have read in one of the Historians of the latter Roman Empire (Historians by the way that I will not advise you to mispend your time in reading) that Saporess the famous King of Persia against whom Julian made the Expedition wherein he lost his life, was Crowned in his Mother's Womb. His Father left her with Child, the Magi declar'd that the Child would be a Male, whereupon the royal Ensigns were brought forth, they were placed on her Majesty's Belly, and the
Princes

Princes and the Satrapes acknowledg'd the Monarch in Embryo. But to take a more known Example out of multitudes that present themselves, *Domitian* the worst, and *Trajan* the best of Princes, were promoted to the Empire by the *same Title*. *Domitian* was the Son of *Flavius*, and the Brother, (tho' possibly the Poisoner too) of *Titus Vespasian*: *Trajan* was the adopted Son of *Nerva*. Hereditary Right served the purpose of one, as well as the other; and if *Trajan* was translated to a place among the Gods, this was no greater a distinction, than some of the worst of his predecessors and his successors obtained, for reasons generally as good as that which *Seneca* puts into the mouth of *Diespiter* in the *Apokokyntosis* of *Claudius*, *E' Republica esse aliquem qui cum Romulo possit ferventia rapa vorare*. To say the truth, it would have been a wiser Measure to have made these royal Persons Gods at once: As *Gods* they would have done neither good nor hurt; But as Emperors, in their way to Divinity, they acted like *Devils*.

If you are ready by this time to think me
Antimo-

Antimonarchical, and in particular an Enemy to the Succession of Kings by Hereditary Right, I hope to be soon restored to your good opinion. I esteem Monarchy above any other form of Government, and Hereditary Monarchy above Elective. I reverence Kings, their Office, their Rights, their Persons; and it will never be owing to the *Principles*—I am going to establish (because the Character and Government of a *Patriot King* can be established on no other) if their *Office* and their *Right* are not always held *Divine*, and their *Persons* always *sacred*.

CHAP. II.

- I. *What are the Duties of Subjects, from the Constitution of human Nature and Law of Society.*
- II. *The true Right of Kings and Obedience of Subjects.*
- III. *Which is best, Hereditary Monarchy, or Elective?*
- IV. *A Limited Monarchy the best form of Government, and Hereditary the best Monarchy.*

WE are subject by the Constitution of human Nature, and therefore by the Will of the Author of this and every other Nature, to two Laws. One given immediately to all Men by God, the same to all, and obligatory alike on all. The other given to Man by Man, and therefore not the same to all, nor obligatory alike on all : Founded indeed on the same Principles, but varied by different Applications of them to Times, to Characters, and to a number which may be reckoned

C

infi-

infinite of other Circumstances. By the first you see that I mean the Universal Law of Reason ; and by the second the particular Law or Constitution of Laws, by which every distinct Community has chosen to be governed.

The obligation of Submission to both, is discoverable by so clear and so simple an use of our intellectual Faculties, that it may be said properly enough to be *revealed to us by God*; and tho' *both* these Laws cannot be said properly to be given by Him, yet our Obligation to submit to the *Civil* Law is a principal Paragraph in the *Natural* Law, which he has most manifestly given us. In truth we can no more doubt of the Obligations of both these Laws, than of the Existence of the Law-giver. As supream Lord over all his Works, his *general* Providence regards immediately the *great Common-wealth* of Mankind : but then as supream Lord likewise, his Authority gives a Sanction to the *particular Bodies* of Law which are made under it. The Law of *Nature* is the Law of *all* his Subjects : The Constitutions of *particular* Governments are like the *By-Laws*

Laws of Cities, or the appropriated Customs of Provinces. It follows therefore that he who breaks the *Law of his Country* resists the *Ordinance of God*, that is, the Law of his Nature. God has instituted neither Monarchy, nor Aristocracy, nor Democracy, nor mix'd Government: but tho' God has instituted no particular Form of Government among Men, yet by the general Laws of his Kingdom, he exacts our obedience to the Laws of those Communities to which each of us is attached by Birth, or to which we may be attached by subsequent and lawful Engagements.

II. From such plain, unrefined, and therefore I suppose true reasoning, the *just Authority of Kings* and the *due Obedience of Subjects* may be deduced with the utmost certainty. And surely it is far better for Kings themselves to have their Authority thus founded on Principles incontestible, and on fair deductions from them, than on the Chimera's of Madmen, or (what

II. The true Right of Kings, and Obedience of Subjects.

has been more common) the Sophisms of Knaves. A *human Right* that cannot be controverted, is preferable surely to a *pretended Divine Right*, which every Man must believe implicitly, as few will do, or not believe at all.

But the Principles we have laid down do not stop here. A Divine Right in Kings is to be deduced evidently from them. A Divine Right to govern *well*, and conformably to the Constitution at the Head of which they are placed. A Divine Right to govern *ill*, is an absurdity: To assert it is Blasphemy. A People may choose, or Hereditary Succession may raise, a *bad* Prince to the Throne; but a *good* King alone can derive his Right to govern from *God*. The Reason is plain: Good Government alone can be in the Divine Intention. God has made us to desire Happiness; He has made our Happiness dependant on Society; and the Happiness of Society dependant on good or bad Government. His Intention therefore was that Government should be *good*.

This is essential to his Wisdom, for Wisdom consists surely in proportioning Means
to

to Ends ; therefore it cannot be said without absurd Impiety, that he confers a Right to oppose his Intention.

The Office of Kings is then of *Right Divine*, and their Persons are to be reputed *Sacred*. As *Men*, they have no such Right, no such Sacredness belonging to them: As *Kings* they have both, unless they forfeit them. Reverence for Government, obliges to reverence Governours, who for the sake of it are raised above the level of other Men: But Reverence for Governors independantly of Government (any further than reverence would be due to their Virtues if they were private Men) is preposterous, and repugnant to common Sense. The Spring from which this legal Reverence (for so I may call it) arises, is *National*, not *Personal*. As well might we say that a Ship is built and loaded and mann'd, for the sake of any particular Pilot, instead of acknowledging that the Pilot is made for the sake of the Ship her Lading and her Crew (who are always the *Owners* in the Political Vessel) as to say that Kingdoms were instituted for Kings, not Kings for Kingdoms.

doms. In short, and to carry our allusion higher, Majesty is not an inherent, but a reflected Light.

III. All this is as true of *Elective*, as it is of *Hereditary* Monarchs, tho' the Scriblers for Tyranny under the Name of Monarchy, would have us believe that there is something more August, and more Sacred in the one than the other. They are Sacred *alike*, and this Attribute is to be ascribed or not ascribed to them, as they answer, or do not answer, the *Ends* of their Institution. But there is another Comparison to be made, in which a great and most important Dissimilitude will be found between Hereditary and Elective Monarchy. Nothing can be more absurd in pure *Speculation*, than an Hereditary Right in any Mortal to govern other Men; and yet in *Practice*, nothing can be more absurd than to have a King to choose at every vacancy of a Throne. We draw at a *Lottery* indeed in one case, where there are many Chances to lose and few to gain, But have we much more advantage of

III. Which is best, Hereditary Monarchy, or Elective?

this

this kind in the other? I think not: Upon these, and upon most occasions, the Multitude would do at least as well to trust to Chance as Choice, and to their Fortune as to their Judgment. But in another respect the Advantage is entirely on the Side of Hereditary Succession: for in Elective Monarchies, these Elections whether well or ill made, are often attended with such *National Calamities*, that even the best Reigns cannot make amends for them; whereas in Hereditary Monarchy, whether a good or a bad Prince succeeds, these Calamities are avoided. There is one Source of Evil the less open, and one Source of Evil the less in human Affairs where there are so many, is sufficient to decide. We may lament the Imperfection of our human State, which is such that in cases of the utmost Importance to the Order and good Government of Society, and by consequence to the Happiness of our Kind, we are reduced by the very Constitution of our Nature to have *no part* to take that our Reason can *approve absolutely*. But tho' we lament it, we must submit to it. We must tell our
selves

selves once for all, that *perfect* Schemes
 are not adapted to our imperfect State;
 and that *Stoical Morals* and *Platonick Po-
 liticks* are nothing better than amusements
 for those who have had little Experience
 in the Affairs of the World, and who have
 much leisure, *Verba otiosorum Senum ad
 imperitos juvenes*, (the Censure and a just
 one too, that *Dionysius* past on some of
 the Doctrines of the Father of the Acade-
 my.) In truth, all which human prudence
 can do, is to furnish Expedients, and to
 compound as it were with general Vice and
 Folly; employing Reason to act even a-
 gainst her own Principles, and teaching us
 (if I may say so) *insanire cum ratione*,
 which appears on many occasions not to be
 the Paradox it has been thought.

IV. To conclude this Head therefore,
 as I think a *limited Monarchy* the best of
 Governments, so I think an *Hereditary
 Monarchy* the best of Monarchies. I said
 a *limited Monarchy*, for an *unlimited Mo-
 narchy*, (when arbitrary Will, which is in

IV. A *limited Monarchy* the best form of Government,
 and *Hereditary* the best *Monarchy*.

truth

truth no Rule, is however the sole Rule, or stands instead of all Rule of Government) is so great an Absurdity, both in Reason informed or uninformed by Experience, that it seems a Government fitter for Savages than for civilized People.

But I think it proper to explain a little more what I mean, when I say a *limited* Monarchy, that I may leave nothing untouched which ought to be taken into consideration either by us, when we attempt to fix our Idea's of a *Patriot King*; or by Him, if ever God raises one up who intends to be such.

C H A P. III.

- I. *The peculiar Advantage of a limited Monarchy over all other Forms of Government.*
- II. *The Absurdity of supposing Arbitrary Power essential to Monarchy.*
- III. *The Nature of such Limitations as are consistent with Monarchy.*
- IV. *Objections against Limitations answer'd.*

AMong many Reasons which determine me to prefer *Monarchy* to every other Form of Government, this is a principal One. When Monarchy is the essential Form, it may be more easily and more usefully *tempered* with *Aristocracy* or *Democracy*, or both, than either of them, when they are the essential Forms, can be *tempered* with *Monarchy*. It seems to me that the introduction of a real permanent, Monarchical Power, or any thing more than the Pageantry of it,

it, into either of these, must destroy them and extinguish them, as a great Light extinguishes a Less. Whereas it may easily be shewn (and the true Form of our Government will demonstrate, without seeking any other Example) that very considerable *Aristocratical* and *Democratical* Powers may be grafted on a *Monarchical* Stock, without diminishing the Lustre, or restraining the Power and Authority of the Prince, enough to alter in any degree the essential Form.

II. A great difference is made in Nature, and therefore the Distinction should be always preserved in our Notions, between two things that we are apt to confound in Speculation as they have been confounded in Practice, *Legislative* and *Monarchical* Power. There must be an absolute, unlimited, and uncontroulable Power lodged *somewhere* in every Government; but to constitute Monarchy, or the Government of a single Person, it is not necessary that this Power should be lodged in the Monarch *alone*. It is no more ne-

II. The Absurdity of supposing Arbitrary Power essential to Monarchy.

D 2

cessary

cessary that he should exclusively and independantly establish the Rule of his Government, than it is that he should govern without any Rule at all, and this surely will be thought reasonable by no Man.

I would not say God governs by a Rule that we know, or may know as well as he, and upon our knowledge of which he appeals to Men for the Justice of his Proceedings towards them; which a famous Divine has impiously advanced, in a pretended Demonstration of his Being and Attributes. God forbid! But this I may say, that God does always that which is fittest to be done, and that this fitness, (whereof neither that presumptuous Dogmatist was, nor any created Being is a competent Judge) results from the various *Natures*, and more various *Relations* of things; so that, as Creator of all Systems by which these Natures and Relations are constituted, he prescribed to himself the *Rule*, which he follows as Governor of every System of Being. In short with reverence be it spoken, God is a Monarch, yet not an arbitrary but a limited Monarch,
limited

limited by the *Rule* which *infinite Wisdom* prescribes to *infinite Power*. I know well enough the Impropriety of these Expressions, but when our Ideas are inadequate, our Expressions must needs be improper. Such Conceptions however as we are able to form of these Attributes, and of the Exercise of them in the Government of the Universe, may serve to shew what I have produced them to shew. If governing without *any Rule*, and by *arbitrary Will* be not essential to our Idea of the Monarchy of the *Supream Being*, it is plainly ridiculous to suppose them necessarily included in the Idea of a *human Monarchy*: And tho' God in his eternal Ideas, (for we are able to conceive no other manner of knowing) has prescribed to himself that Rule by which he governs the Universe he *created*; it will be just as ridiculous to affirm, that the Idea of human Monarchy cannot be preserved, if Kings are obliged to govern according to a Rule established by the Wisdom of a State that was a State before they were Kings, and by the Consent of a People that they did not most certainly *create*; especially

cially when the whole executive Power is exclusively in their hands, and the legislative Power cannot be exercised without their concurrence.

III. There are *Limitations* indeed that would destroy the *essential Form* of Monarchy; or in other Words, a monarchical Constitution may be changed, under pretence of limiting the Monarch. This happened among us in the last Century, when the vilest Usurpation and the most infamous Tyranny were established over our Nation, by some of the worst and some of the meanest Men in it. I will not say that the *Essential Form* of Monarchy should be preserved, tho' the Preservation of it were to cause the Loss of *Liberty*: *Salus Reip. suprema lex esto*, is a fundamental Law; and sure I am, the *Safety* of a Common Wealth is ill provided for, if the *Liberty* be given up. But this I presume to say and can demonstrate, that *all* the Limitations necessary to preserve Liberty, as long

III. The Nature of such Limitations as are consistent with Monarchy.

as the *Spirit* of it subsists, (and longer than that, no Limitations of Monarchy nor any other Form of Government preserve it) are *compatible* with Monarchy. I think on these Subjects, neither as the *Tories*, nor as the *Whigs* have thought ; at least I endeavour to avoid the Excesses of both: I neither *dress up* Kings like so many *burlesque Jupiters*, weighing the Fortunes of Mankind in the Scales of Fate, and darting Thunderbolts at the Heads of rebellious Giants: Nor do I strip them *naked*, as it were, and leave them at most a few *tatter'd Rags* to clothe their *Majesty*, but such as can serve really as little for Use as for Ornament: My aim is to fix this Principle, that *Limitations* on a Crown ought to be carry'd *as far* as it is necessary to secure the Liberties of a People, and that all *such Limitations* may subsist, without weakening, or endangering Monarchy.

IV. I shall be told perhaps, for I have heard it said by many, that this Point is imaginary, and that Limitations sufficient

to procure good Government, and to secure Liberty under a *bad* Prince, cannot be made, unless they are such as will deprive the Subjects of many Benefits in the Reign of a *good* Prince, clog his Administration, maintain an unjust Jealousy between him and his People, and occasion a defect of Power, necessary to preserve the publick Tranquility and to promote the National Prosperity. If this was true, here would be a much more melancholy instance of the imperfection of our Nature, and of the inefficacy of our Reason to supply this imperfection, than the former. In the former, Reason prompted by Experience avoids a certain Evil effectually, and is able to provide in some Measure against the contingent Evils that may arise from the Expedient it self. But in the latter, if what is there advanced was true, these provisions against contingent Evils would in some cases be the Occasions of much certain Evil, and of positive Good in none: Under a good Prince they would render the Administration defective, and under a bad one there would be no Government at all. But the truth is widely different from

from this representation. The Limitations necessary to preserve Liberty under Monarchy will *restrain* effectually a *bad* Prince, without being ever *felt* as *shackles* by a *good* one. Our Constitution is brought to such a Point, (a Point of perfection I think it) that no *King*, who is not in the true meaning of the Word a *Patriot*, can govern Britain with *Ease*, *Security*, *Honour*, *Dignity*, or indeed with *sufficient Power* and *Strength*. But yet a King that is a *Patriot*, may govern with *all* the former ; and besides them, with Power as extended as the most absolute Monarch can boast ; and a Power far more agreeable in the Enjoyment, as well as more effectual in the Operation.

 CHAP. IV.

- I. *That such Limitations will be no Restraints to a Prince who is truly a Patriot.*
- II. *How it happens that so few Princes are Patriots.*
- III. *A Digression. What ought to be the Conduct of those about a Prince, and what is the Duty of all who approach him.*

BUT to attain these great and noble Ends, the Patriotism must be *real* and not in *shew* alone. It is something to desire to appear such, and the Desire of having Fame is a Step towards deserving it, because it is a Motive the more to deserve it. If it be true as *Tacitus* says, *contemptu famæ contemni virtutem*, that a Contempt of a good Name, or an indifference about it, begets or accompanys always a Contempt of Virtue, the contrary will be true; and they are certainly both true. But this Motive alone is not sufficient. To

con-

constitute a *Patriot*, whether *King* or *Subject*, there must be something more substantial than a Desire of *Fame*, in the composition; and if there be not, this desire of *Fame* will never rise above that Sentiment which may be compared to the Coquetry of Women; a fondness of transient Applause, which is courted by *Vanity*, given by *Flattery*, and spends itself in *Shew*, like the Qualities which acquire it. *Patriotism* must be founded in *great Principles*, and supported by *great Virtues*. The chief of these Principles I have endeavoured to trace, and I will not scruple to assert that a Man can be a *good King* upon *no other*. He may, without them and by complexion, be unambitious, generous, good natured; but without them the Exercise even of these Virtues will be often ill *directed*; and with Principles of another sort, he will be drawn easily, notwithstanding these Virtues, from all the Purposes of his Institution.

II. I mention these opposite Principles

II. How it happens that so few Princes are Patriots.

the rather, because instead of wondering that so many Kings, unfit and unworthy to be trusted with the Government of Mankind, appear in the World, I have been tempted to wonder that there are any tolerable: when I have consider'd the Flattery that environs them most commonly from the Cradle, and the Tendency of all those false Notions that are instilled into them by Precept, and by Example, by the Habits of Courts, and by the interested selfish Views of Courtiers. They are bred to esteem themselves of a *distinct* and *superior Species* among *Men*, as Men are among *Animals*.

Lewis the Fourteenth was a strong Instance of the Effect of this *Education*; by this he had been brought to look on his Kingdom as a Patrimony that descended to him from his Ancestors, and was to be considered in no other Light; so that when a very considerable Man had discoursed to him at large of the miserable condition to which his People was reduced, and had frequently used this Word, *l'Etat*, tho' the King approved the Substance of all he had said, yet he was
shock'd

ſhock'd at the frequent repetition of this Word, and complain'd of it as of a kind of Indecency to himſelf. This will not appear ſo ſtrange to our ſecond, as it may very juſtly to our firſt Reflexions: for what wonder is it, that Princes are eaſily betrayed into an Error that takes its riſe in the general Imperfection of our Nature, in our Pride, our Vanity, and our Preſumption: the baſtard Children, but the Children ſtill, of Self-love; a ſpurious Brood, but often a favourite Brood, that governs the whole Family. As Men are apt to make themſelves the Meaſure of all Being, ſo they make themſelves the final Cauſe of all Creation. Thus the reputed Orthodox Philoſophers in all Ages have taught that the World was made for Man, the Earth for him to inhabit, and all the luminous Bodies in the immenſe Expanſe around us, for him to gaze at. Kings do no more, no not ſo much, when they imagine themſelves the final Cauſe for which Societies were formed, and Government inſtituted.

This capital Error, in which almoſt every Prince is confirmed by his *Education*,
has

has so great Extent and so general Influence, that a Right to do every iniquitous thing in Government may be derived from it. But as if this was not enough, the Characters of Princes are spoiled many more ways by their *Education*. I shall not descend into a detail of such particulars, nor presume so much as to hint what Regulations might be made about the *Education of Princes*, nor what Part our *Parliaments* might take occasionally in this momentous Affair, lest I should appear too refining or too presumptuous in my Speculations. But I may assert in general that the Indifference of Mankind upon this Head, especially in a Government constituted like ours, is monstrous.

III. I may also take notice of another Cause of the Mistakes of Princes, the general Conduct of those who are brought by their Stations near to their Persons. Such Men, let me say, have a particular Duty arising from this very situation; a

III. A Digression. What ought to be the Conduct of those about a Prince, and what is the Duty of all who approach him.

Duty

Duty common to them all, because it arises not from their Stations which are different, but from their Situation which is the same. To descend into the various Applications of this Duty would be too minute and tedious, but this may suffice; That all such Men should bear constantly in mind, that the Master they serve is to be the *King* of *their Country*; that their Attachment to him therefore is not to be like that of other Servants to other *Masters*, for *his* sake alone, or for *his* sake and *their own*, but for the sake of *their Country* likewise.

Craterus *loves the King* but Hephestion *loves Alexander*, was a Saying that has been often quoted, but not censured as it ought to be. *Alexander* gave the Preference to the Attachment of *Hephestion*, but this Preference was due undoubtedly to that of *Craterus*. Attachment to a private Person must comprehend a great concern for his Character and his Interests; but Attachment to a present or future King much more, because the Character of the latter is more important to himself and others; and because his Interests are
vastly

vastly more complicated with those of his Country, and in some sort with those of Mankind. *Alexander* himself seemed upon one occasion to make the Distinction that should be always made between our Attachments to a Prince, or to any private Person. It was when *Parmenio* advised him to accept the Terms of Peace which *Darius* offered: They were great, he thought them so, but he thought (no matter for my purpose whether justly or not) that it would be unbecoming him to accept them; therefore he rejected them, but acknowledged, that "he would have done as he was advised to do, if he had been *Parmenio*."

As to Persons who are not immediately about a Prince, they indeed can do little more than proportion their Applause, and the Demonstrations of their Confidence and Affection, to the just Expectations that the Prince gives them. If he gives them those of a *good Reign*, we may assure our selves that they will carry (and in this case they ought to carry) that Applause and those Demonstrations of their Confidence and Affection, as high as such

a Prince himself can desire. Thus the Prince and the People take in effect a sort of Engagement with one another; the Prince to govern well, and the People to honour and obey him. If he gives them the Expectations of *a bad Reign*, they have this Obligation to him at least, that he puts them early on their Guard. And an Obligation, and an Advantage it will be, if they prepare for his Accession as for a great and inevitable Evil; and if they guard on every Occasion against the ill Use they foresee that he will make of Money and Power. Above all, they should not suffer themselves to be caught in the common Snare, which is laid under specious Pretences of "*gaining* such a Prince, "and of *keeping* him by publick Compliances out of *bad Hands*." That Argument has been pressed more than once, has prevailed, and has been fruitful of most pernicious Consequences. None indeed can be more absurd: It is not unlike the Reasoning of those Savages who worship the Devil, not because they love him or honour him, or expect any Good from him, but that he may do them no Hurt.

F

Nay

Nay it is more absurd, for the Savages suppose that the Devil has *independantly of them* the Power to hurt them; whereas the others, because a Prince has already some Power to hurt them, put more into his hands; and so trust to the Justice and Gratitude of one who wants Sense, Virtue, or both, rather than encrease and fortify the Barriers against his Folly and his Vices.

But the Truth is, that Men who reason and act in this manner, either mean, or else are led by such as mean, nothing more than to make a *private Court* at the *publick Expence*; who chuse to be the Instruments of a bad King rather than to be out of Power; and who are often so wicked that they would *prefer* such a Service to that of the best of Kings. In fine, these Reasons, and every other Reason for providing against a *bad Reign* in Prospect, acquire a new Force when One weak or wicked Prince is in the Order of Succession to follow Another of the same Character. Such Provisions indeed are *hardest* to be obtained when they are the *most necessary*; that is, when the Spirit of Liberty

erty begins to flag in a Free People, and when they become disposed by Habits that have grown insensibly upon them, to a base Submission. But they are *necessary too* even when they are *easiest* to be obtained; that is, when the Spirit of Liberty is in full Strength, and a Disposition to oppose all Instances of Male-Administration and to resist all Attempts on Liberty, is universal. In both Cases, the Endeavours of every Man who loves his Country will be employ'd with incessant Care and Constancy to obtain them; that good Government and Liberty may be the better preserved and secured: But in the latter Case, for this further Reason also, that the Preservation and Security of these may be provided for, not only better, but *more consistently* with *publick Tranquility*, by *constitutional* Methods, and a *legal* Course of Opposition to the Excesses of Regal or Ministerial Power. What I touch upon here might be made extreamly plain (and I think the Observation would appear to be of no small Importance) but I should be carry'd too far from my Subject, and

my Subject will afford me Matter of more agreeable Speculation.

CHAP. V.

- I. *What the Situation will be of a Prince, who during a bad Reign gives Hopes of a good One. His Advantages both before, and after his Accession.*
- II. *The Opinion of Machiavel on this Point.*

THE Prince then, who gives the Subjects just Reason to expect that his Reign will be that of a *Patriot King*, and who does this in the Reign of one who has no Pretence to the Title, must expect himself to become (in how near a Relation soever he may stand to the other) obnoxious both to him and to his Ministers. This cannot fail to be the Case; for to be in Favour with a Court thus constituted, and with the People at once, is an absolute Impossibility. All the Expectations that such a Prince raises of a good Reign,

Reign, all the Testimonies he receives of Gratitude and Affection in return from the People, will create naturally Dislike in the Mind of a King who never had Virtue enough to raise such Expectations, nor to deserve such Testimonies; and this Dislike will be soon work'd up into Jealousy, Envy, Resentment, and Rage, by the Suggestions of his Ministers, and the Ferment of his own Passions. But this certain Effect of their Conduct must not hinder either Prince or People from a steady Pursuit of it. United, none can hurt them; and if no Artifice interrupts, no Power can defeat the Effects of their Perseverance. It will blast many a wicked Project, keep Virtue in Countenance, and Vice to some degree at least in Awe. The remote Prospect of a good Government will be of immediate Advantage many ways to the People under a bad one. It may be so even to him who governs; and such Conjunctions may happen, wherein nothing less than that Credit with the People which he dislikes, and those publick and private Virtues which he chuses
to

to persecute rather than to imitate, can keep him on his Throne.

This Advantage an unpopular King may owe to his popular Successor; but however this may turn, the Advantages that will accrue to a good Prince who suffers with the People, and in some measure for them, under a bad King, are certain and invaluable. I might enumerate many such for instance, as these, That the Cause of the People he is to govern and his own Cause, are made the same even by their common Enemies: That he feels Grievances himself as a Subject, before he has the Power of imposing them as a King: That he is formed in the School out of which the greatest and the best of Monarchs have come, the School of Affliction: and that all the Vices which prevailed before his Reign will serve but as so many Foils to the Glories of it. But I hasten to speak of the greatest of all these Advantages, and of that which a Patriot King will esteem to be such; whose ways of thinking and acting to so glorious a Purpose as the Re-establishment of a free Constitution when it has been shook by the Iniquity

quity of a former Administration, I shall endeavour to explain.

I know that what I shall here say will pass among some for the Reveries of a distemper'd Brain, at best for the vain Speculations of an idle Man who has lost Sight of the World, or who had never Sagacity enough to discern in Government the Practicable from the Impracticable. That a King should rouse a Spirit which may turn against himself; that he should reject the sole Expedient of governing limited Monarchies with Success, (the Attachment of Men to him by personal Dependancy) that he should labour to confine instead of extending his Power; and to patch up an old tatter'd Constitution which his People are disposed to lay aside, rather than to form a new one more agreeable to them, and more advantageous to him; in short, that he should refuse to be an *absolute Monarch* when every Circumstance invites him to it; all these Particulars (in every one of which the Question is begged) will be thus represented, and will be then ridiculed as Paradoxes fit to be ranked among the *Mirabilia & inopinata*
of

of the Stoicks, and such as no Man in his Senses can maintain in earnest. Such Judgments and such Reasoning may be expected in an Age as corrupt as ours: In an Age wherein so many betray the Cause of Liberty, and act not only without Regard but in direct Opposition to the most important Interests of their Country; not only Occasionally, by Surprise, by Weakness, by strong Temptation, or sly Seduction, but constantly, steadily, by deliberate Choice, and in pursuance of Principles they avow and propagate: In an Age when so many others shrink from the Service of their Country, or promote it coolly and uncertainly, in Subordination to their own Interest and Humour, or to those of a Party. But I have declared already my Unconcernedness at the Censure, or Ridicule of such Men as these; for whose *supposed Abilities* I have much well-grounded Contempt, and against whose *real Immorality* I have as just Indignation.

Let us come therefore to the Bar of Reason and Experience, where we shall find these Paradoxes admitted as plain and almost

almost self-evident Propositions, and these Reveries and vain Speculations as important Truths, confirmed by Experience in all Ages and all Countries.

Machiavel is an Author who should have great Authority with the Persons likely to oppose me; since he declares the Affectation of Virtue to be useful to Princes, but the real Practice of it hurtful, since he proposes to them the Amplification of their Power, the Extent of their Dominion, and the Subjection of their People as the sole Objects of their Policy; and since he devises and recommends all Means that tend to these Purposes, without the Consideration of any Duty owing to Government, or of any regard to the Morality or Immorality of Actions. Yet such is the Force of Truth, that even *Machiavel* is on my side in the present Question; how consistently with himself, let those who are concerned to defend him consider. I shall content myself to draw on the Ground he has laid for me, in the 10th Chapter of his first Book of Discourses particularly.

In that place he appears so convinced, that the supreme Glory of a Prince accrues to him who establishes good Government and a *Free Constitution*, that he thinks a Prince ambitious of Fame must *wish* to come into Possession of a disordered and corrupted State, not to finish the wicked Work that others have begun and to compleat the Ruin, but to stop the Progress of the first and to prevent the last: He thinks this not only the true Way to *Fame*, but to *Security* and *Quiet*; as the contrary leads (for here is no third Way, and a Prince must make his Option between these two) not only to Infamy, but to Danger and to perpetual Disquietude. He represents those who might establish a Common-Wealth or a legal Monarchy, and who chuse to improve the Opportunity of establishing Tyranny (that is Monarchy without any rule of Law) as Men who are deceived by false Notions of Good and false Appearances of Glory, and who are in Effect blind to their true Interest in every Respect; *Ne si auvegono per questo partito quanta Fama, quanta Gloria, quanto Honore, Sicurita, Quiete, con satisfatione d'animo*

d'animo á fuggono, & in quanta Infamia Vituperio, Biasimo, Pericolo & Inquietudine incorrono. He touches another Advantage which Patriot Princes reap (and in that he contradicts flatly the main Point on which his half-taught Scholars insist.) He denies that such Princes *diminish* their Power by *circumscribing* it; and affirms with Truth on his Side, that *Timoleon*, and others of the same Character whom he had cited, possessed as great Authority in their Country, with every other Advantage to boot, as *Dionysius* or *Phalaris* had acquired, with the loss of all those Advantages. Thus far *Machiavel* reasons justly, but he takes in only a *part* of his Subject, and confines himself to those Motives that should determine a wise Prince to maintain Liberty, because it is his *Interest* to do so: He rises no higher than the consideration of that Interest, of Fame, of Security, of Quiet, and of Power (all personal to the Prince) and by such Motives alone even his favourite *Borgia* might have been determined to affect the Virtues of a Patriot: more than which this great Doctor in Political Knowledge

would not have required of him. But he is far from going up to that Motive which should above all determine a good Prince to hold this conduct, because it is *Duty to do so*. Now it is with this that I shall begin what I intend to offer, concerning the System of Principles and Conduct by which a Patriot King will govern himself, and his People. I shall not only begin higher, but descend into more detail, and keep still in my eye the Application of the whole to the Constitution of Great Britain, even to the present State of our Nation, and Temper of our People.

CHAP.

C H A P. VI.

- I. *What will be the Views, pursuant to the former Principles, of a Patriot King.*
- II. *That those Principles and Views will be the same, whether he be Hereditary or Elective.*

I Think enough has been already said, to establish the *first and true Principles* of *Monarchical* and indeed of every other kind of *Government*; and I will say with confidence, that no Principles but these and such as these, can be advanced, which deserve to be treated seriously (tho' Mr. *Lock* condescended to examine those of *Filmer*, more out of regard to the Prejudices of the Time, than to the Importance of the Work.) Upon such foundations we must conclude, that since Men were directed by Nature to form *Societies*, because they cannot by their Nature subsist without them, nor in a State of *Individuality*; and since they were directed in

in like manner to establish *Governments*, because Societies can not be maintain'd without them, nor subsist in a State of *Anarchy*; the ultimate End of all Governments is the *Good* of the *People*, for *whose sake* they were made, and *without whose consent* they could not have been made. In forming Societies, and submitting to Government, Men give up part of that *Liberty* to which they are all born, and all alike. But why? Is Government incompatible with a full Enjoyment of *Liberty*? by no means. But because popular Liberty without Government will degenerate into *Licence*, as Government without sufficient Liberty will degenerate into *Tyranny*. They are mutually necessary to each other, good Government to support legal Liberty, and legal Liberty to preserve good Government.

I speak not here of People, if any such there are, who have been Savage or stupid enough to submit to Tyranny by Original Contract; nor of those Nations on whom Tyranny has stolen as it were imperceptibly, or been imposed by Violence, and settled by Prescription. I shall exercise no
Poli-

Political Casuistry about the Rights of *such Kings*, and the Obligations of *such People*. Men are to take their Lotts, perhaps in Governments as in Climates, to fence against the Inconveniencies of both, and to bear what they cannot alter. But I speak of People who have been wise and happy enough to establish, and to preserve *Free Constitutions* of Government, as the People of *this Island* have done. To these therefore I say, that their Kings are under the most sacred Obligations that *Human Law* can create, and *Divine Law* authorize, to defend and maintain, in the first place and preferably to every other Consideration, the *Freedom* of such Constitutions.

The *Good of the People* is the ultimate and true *End* of Government. Governours are therefore appointed for *this end*, and the Civil Constitution which appoints them and invests them with their Power, is determined to do so by that *Law of Nature* and *Reason*, which had determined the *End* of Government, and which admits this *Form* of Government as a proper Means of arriving at it. Now the greatest Good of a People is their Liberty,

ty, and in the Case here referred to, the People has judged it so, and provided for it accordingly. *Liberty* is to the collective Body, what *Health* is to every individual Body. Without *Health* no Pleasure can be tasted by Man: without *Liberty* no happiness can be enjoyed by *Society*. The Obligation therefore to defend and maintain the Freedom of such Constitutions will appear most sacred to a Patriot King.

Kings who have weak Understandings, bad Hearts, and strong Prejudices, and all these, as it often happens, inflamed by their Passions and rendred incurable by their Self-conceit and Presumption; such Kings are apt to imagine, (and they conduct themselves so as to make many of their Subjects imagine) that the King and the People in Free Governments are *Rival Powers*, who stand in *Competition* with one another, who have *different Interests* and must of course have *different Views*: That the *Rights* and *Priviledges* of the People are so many *Spoils* taken from the *Right* and *Prerogative* of the Crown, and that the Rules and Laws made for the Exercise

cise and Security of the former, are so many *diminutions* of their Dignity, and *restraints* on their Power.

A Patriot King will see all this in a far different and much truer Light. The Constitution will be considered by him as *one Law*, consisting of *two Tables*, containing the Rule of his Government, and the Measure of his Subjects Obedience; or as *one System*, composed of *different Parts* and *Powers*, but all duly proportioned to one another, and conspiring by their Harmony to the Perfection of the Whole. He will make one, and *but one* Distinction between his Rights, and those of his People: He will look on his to be a *Trust*, and theirs a *Property*. He will discern that he can have a Right to no more than is trusted to him by the Constitution; and that his People, who had an Original Right to the *whole* by the law of Nature, can have the sole indefeazable Right to *any part*; and really have such a Right to *that part* which they have reserved to themselves. In fine the *Constitution* will be revered by him as the *Law of God* and of *Man*, the *Force* of which binds the King as

H

much

much as the meanest Subject, and the *Reason* of which binds him *much more*.

II. Thus he will think, and on these Principles he will act, whether he come to the Throne by immediate or remote Election. I say *remote*, for in Hereditary Monarchies, where *Men* are not Elected, *Families* are; and therefore some Authors would have it believed, that when a Family has been once admitted, and an Hereditary Right to the Crown recognized in it, that Right cannot be forfeited, nor that Throne become vacant, as long as any Heir of the Family remains. How much more agreeably to Truth and to common Sense would these Authors have written, if they had maintained, that every Prince who comes to a Crown in the Course of Succession, were he the *last* of five Hundred, comes to it under the *same* Conditions that the *first* took it, (whether expressed or imply'd) as well as under those, if any such there be, which have been since made by Legal Authority; and

II. That his Principles and Views will be the same whether he be Hereditary or Elective.

that

that Royal Blood can give no Right, nor Length of Succession, any Prescription, against the Constitution of a Government which the *first* and the *last* hold by the *same Tenure*.

I mention this the rather, because I have an imperfect remembrance, that some Scribler was employed or employed himself, under a late great Patronage, to assert the *Hereditary Right* of the present Royal Family. A Task so unnecessary to any good purpose, that I believe a Suspicion arose of its having been designed for a bad one. A Patriot King will never countenance such impertinent Fallacies, nor deign to lean on broken Reeds. He knows that his Right is founded on the *Laws of God and Man*, that none can shake it but himself, and that his own Virtue is sufficient to maintain it against all Opposition.

I have dwelt the longer on the *First* and *general Principles* of *Monarchical Government*, and have recurred the oft'ner to them, because it seems to me that they are the *Seeds of Patriotism*, which must be sowed as soon as possible in the Mind of a Prince. A Prince who does not know

the *true Principles*, cannot propose to himself the *true Ends* of Government ; and he who does not propose them, will never direct his Conduct steadily to them. There is not a deeper, nor a finer Observation in all my Lord *Bacon's* Works, than one which I shall apply and paraphrase on this Occasion. The most compendious, the most noble, and the most effectual Remedy which can be opposed to the uncertain and irregular Motions of the human Mind, agitated by various Passions, allured by various Temptations, inclining sometimes towards a State of moral Perfection, and oftener even in the best towards a State of moral Depravation, is this. We must chuse betimes such *virtuous Objects* as are proportioned to the Means we have of pursuing them, and as belong particularly to the *Stations* we are in, and to the Duties of those Stations. We must *determine* and *fix* our Minds in such Manner upon them, that the Pursuit of them may become the *Business*, and the Attainment of them the *End* of our whole Lives. Thus we shall imitate the great Operations of Nature, and not the feeble, slow,
and

and imperfect Operations of Art. We must not proceed in forming the moral Character, as a Statuary proceeds in forming a Statue, who works sometimes on the Face, sometimes on one Part, and sometimes on another; but we must proceed, (and it is in our Power to proceed) as Nature does in forming a Flower, an Animal, or any other of her Productions; *Rudimenta partium omnium simul parit & producit.* "She throws out altogether and "at once, the whole System of every Being, and the Rudiments of all the Parts." The Vegetable or the Animal grows in Bulk and increases in Strength, but is the *same from the first.* Just so our Patriot King must be a Patriot from the first. He must be such in Resolution, before he grows such in Practice. He must fix at once the *general Principles and Ends* of all his Actions, and determine that his whole Conduct shall be regulated by them, and directed to them. When he has done this, he will have turned by one great Effort the Bent of his Mind so strongly towards the Perfection of a Kingly Character, that he will exercise with Ease, and as it were by

by a natural Determination, all the Virtues of it: They will be suggested to him on every occasion by the *Principles* wherewith his Mind is, and by those *Ends* that are the constant Objects of his Attention.

C H A P. VII.

- I. *What will be the Conduct of a Patriot King, in order to restore a Free Constitution.*
- II. *How by the contrary Conduct, a bad or weak Prince is capable of destroying one.*
- III. *But that a good King is really sufficient to this Task.*
- IV. *The Ability of a Patriot King to restore a Free Constitution.*

LET us then proceed to see in what manner, and with what effect he will do this, upon the greatest Occasion he can have of exercising these Virtues, the *Maintenance of Liberty*, and the *Re-establishment of a Free Constitution*.

The

The Freedom of a Constitution rests on *two* Points. The *Orders* of it are one: so *Machiavel* calls them, and I know not how to call them more significantly. He means not only the Forms and Customs, but the different Classes and Assemblies of Men, with different Powers and Priviledges attributed to them, which are established in the State. The *Spirit* and *Character* of the *People* are the other. On the mutual Conformity and Harmony of these the Preservation of Liberty depends. To take away, or essentially to alter the former, cannot be brought to pass, whilst the latter remains in original Purity and Vigour: Nor can Liberty be destroyed by this Method, unless the Attempt be made with a Military Force sufficient to conquer the Nation, (which would not submit in this case till it was conquered, nor with much Security to the Conqueror even then) But these *Orders* of the State may be essentially altered, and serve more effectually to the Destruction of Liberty than the Taking of them away would serve, if the *Spirit* and *Character* of the *People* are lost.

II. Now.

II. Now this Method of destroying Liberty is the most dangerous on many Accounts, particularly on this ; that even a Reign of the Weakest Prince may effect the Destruction, when Circumstances are favourable to this Method. When a People is growing corrupt, there is no need of Capacity to contrive, nor of Insinuation to gain, nor of Plausibility to seduce, nor of Eloquence to persuade, nor of Authority to impose, nor of Courage to attempt. The most incapable, awkward, ungracious, shocking, profligate, and timorous Wretches, invested with Power and Masters of the Purse, will be sufficient for the Work, when the People are Complices in it. Luxury is rapacious, let them feed it ; the more it is fed, the more profuse it will grow : Want is the Consequence of Profusion, Venality of Want, and Dependance of Venality. By this Progression the first Men of a Nation will become the Pensioners of the least, and he who has Talents, the most implicit

II. How by the contrary Conduct, a bad or weak Prince is capable of destroying one.

Tool

Tool to him who has none. The Distemper will soon descend, not indeed to make a Deposite *below* and to remain there, but to pervade the *whole Body*.

It may seem a singular, but it is perhaps a true Proposition, that such a King and such a Ministry are more likely to *begin* and to *pursue* with Success, this Method of destroying a Free Constitution of Government, than a King and a Ministry that were held in great Esteem would be. This very *Esteem* might put many on their guard against the latter; but the former may draw from *Contempt* the Advantage of *not being feared*, and an Advantage this is in the beginning of Corruption. Men are willing to excuse, not only to others, but to themselves, the first Steps they take in Vice, and especially in Vice that affects the Publick, and whereof the Publick has a Right to complain. Those therefore who might withstand Corruption in one case, from a Persuasion that the Consequence was too certain to leave them any Excuse, may yield to it when they can flatter themselves, and endeavour to flatter others, that

Liberty cannot be destroy'd nor the Constitution be demolished by *such Hands* as hold the Scepter, and guide the Reins of the Administration. But alas! the Flattery is gross, and the Excuse without colour. These Men may ruin their Country, but they cannot impose on any, unless it be on themselves. Nor will even this Impostition be long necessary: Their Consciences will be soon seared, by *Habit* and by *Example*; and they who wanted an *Excuse* to *begin*, will want *none* to *continue* and to *complete* the Tragedy of their Country. Old Men will outlive the Shame of losing Liberty, and young Men will arise who know not that it ever existed. A Spirit of Slavery will oppose and oppress the Spirit of Liberty, and seem at least to be the Genius of the Nation. Such too it will become in time, when Corruption has once grown to this height, unless the Progress of it can be interrupted.

III. How inestimable a Blessing therefore must the Succession of a Patriot King

II^d. But that a *good King* is really sufficient to this Task.

be

be esteemed in such Circumstances as these, which would be a Blessing and a great one too in any other? He alone can save a Country whose Ruin is so far advanced. The utmost that private Men can do, who remain untainted by the general Contagion, is to keep the Spirit of Liberty alive in a few Breasts, to protest against what they cannot hinder, and to claim on every occasion what they cannot by their own Strength recover.

Machiavel has treated in the Discourses before cited, this Question, "Whether, "when the *People* are grown corrupt, a "Free Government can be maintain'd, if "they enjoy it; or established, if they enjoy it not?" And upon the whole matter he concludes for the Difficulty, or rather the Impossibility of succeeding in either case. It will be worth while to observe his Way of Reasoning: He asserts very truly, and proves by the Example of the *Roman* Common-Wealth, that those *Orders* which are proper to maintain Liberty whilst a People remain uncorrupt, become improper and hurtful to Liberty when a People is grown cor-

rupt. To remedy this Abuse, new Laws alone will not be sufficient. These *Orders* therefore must be *changed*, according to him, and the Constitution must be adapted to the depraved Manners of the People. He shews that such a Change in the Orders, and constituent Parts of the Government, is impracticable, whether the attempt be made by gentle and slow, or by violent and precipitate Measures; and from them he concludes, that a *Free Common Wealth* can neither be *maintained* by a *corrupt People*, nor be *established* among them. But he adds, that “if this can
 “possibly be done, it must be done by
 “drawing the Constitution to the *Monarchical Form of Government*,” *accio che quelli buomini i quali d’ alle leggi non possono essere corretti, fussero da una podestà, in qualche modo frenati.* “That a *corrupt People* whom *Law* cannot correct, may be
 “restrained and corrected by a *Kingly Power.*” Here is the Hinge on which the whole turns.

Another Advantage that a *Free Monarchy* has over all other Forms of Free Government (besides the Advantage of being
 more

more easily and more usefully tempered with Aristocratical and Democratical Powers, which is mentioned above) is this: Those Governments are made up of *different Parts*, and are apt to be disjointed by the Shocks to which they are exposed: but a Free Monarchical Government is more compact, because there is a *part the more* that *keeps* (like the *Key-stone* of a Vault) the whole Building together. They cannot be *mended* in a State of Corruption, they must be in Effect *constituted anew*, and in that Attempt they may be dissolved for ever: but this is not the case of a Free Monarchy. To preserve Liberty by *new* Laws and *new* Schemes of Government, whilst the Corruption of a People *continues*, and *grows*, is absolutely impossible every where: but to restore and to preserve it under *old* Laws, and an *old* Constitution, by re-infusing into the Minds of Men the *Spirit of this Constitution*, is not only possible, but is in a particular manner easy to a *King*. A corrupt Common Wealth remains without remedy, tho' all the Orders and Forms of it subsist: a Free Monarchical Government cannot remain

remain absolutely so, as long as the Orders and Forms of the Constitution subsist. These *alone* are indeed nothing more than the dead Letter of Freedom, or Masks of Liberty. In the first Character they serve to no good purpose whatsoever: in the second they serve to a bad one, because Tyranny, or Government by Will, becomes more severe and more secure under their disguise, than it would if it was barefaced and avowed. But a King can, easily to himself and without violence to his People, renew the Spirit of Liberty in their Minds; quicken this dead Letter, and pull off this Mask.

IV. As soon as Corruption ceases to be an Expedient of Government (and it will cease to be such as soon as a Patriot King is raised to the Throne) the *Panacea* is applied; the Spirit of the Constitution revives of course; and as fast as it revives, the Orders and Forms of the Constitution are restored to their primitive Integrity, and become what they were intended to

IV. The Ability of a Patriot King to restore a Free Constitution.

be ;

be; *real Barriers* against Arbitrary Power, not *Blinds* nor *Masks* under which Tyranny may lye conceal'd. Depravation of Manners expos'd the Constitution to ruin: Reformation will secure it. Men decline easily from Virtue, for there is a Devil too in the Political System, a constant Tempter at hand: A Patriot King will want neither Power nor Inclination to cast out this Devil, to make the Temptation cease, and to deliver his Subjects if not from the Guilt, yet from the Consequence of their Fall. Under him they will not only cease to do evil, but learn to do well; for by rendering publick Virtue, and real Capacity, the sole Means of acquiring any degree of Power or Profit in the State, he will set the Passions of their Hearts on the Side of Liberty and good Government. A Patriot King is the most powerful of all Reformers, for he is himself a sort of standing Miracle, so rarely seen and so little understood, that the sure Effects of his Appearance will be Admiration and Love in every honest Breast, Confusion and Terror to every guilty Conscience, but Submission and Resignation in all. A new People

ple will seem to arise with a new King : innumerable Metamorphoses like those which Poets feign, will happen in very deed ; and while Men are conscious that they are the same Individuals, the difference of their Sentiments will almost persuade them that they are changed into different Beings.

C H A P. VIII.

I. *A previous Observation.*

II. *The Measures a Patriot King will take, 1. To purge his Court of the Bad, 2. To chuse the Good and Able.*

BUT that you may not expect more from such a King than even he can perform, it is necessary to premise one general Observation more, after which I shall descend into some that will be more particular.

Absolute Stability is not to be expected in any thing human ; for that which exists immutably exists necessarily, and this
Attribute

Attribute of the sole Supream Being can neither belong to Man nor to the Works of Man. The best instituted Governments like the best constituted Animal Bodies, carry in them the Seeds of their Destruction ; and though they grow and improve for a time, they will soon tend visibly to their Dissolution. Every hour they live is an hour the less that they have to live. All that can be done therefore to prolong the Duration of a good Government is to draw it back on every favourable Occasion to the *first good Principles* on which it was founded. When these Occasions happen often, and are well improved, such Governments are prosperous and durable. When they happen seldom, or are ill improved, these political Bodies live in Pain or in Languor, and die soon.

A Patriot King is one of the Occasions I mention in a Free Monarchical State, and the very best that can happen. It should be improved like Snatches of fair Weather at Sea, to repair the Damages sustained in the last Storm, and to prepare to resist the next. For such a King cannot secure to his People a Succession of
K Princes

Princes like himself. He will do all he can towards it, by his Example and by his Instruction. But after all, the Royal Mantle will not convey the Spirit of Patriotism into another King, as the Mantle of *Elijah* did the Gift of Prophecy into another Prophet. The utmost he can do, and that which deserves the utmost Gratitude from his Subjects, is to restore good Government, to revive the Spirit of it, and to maintain and confirm both, during the whole course of his Reign. The rest his People must do for themselves. If they do not, they will have none but themselves to blame: If they do, they will have the principal Obligation to him. In all events they will have been Free Men one Reign the longer by his means; and perhaps more, since he will leave them much better prepared and disposed to defend their Liberties, than he found them.

II. This general Observation being made, let us now descend in some detail to the particular *Steps* and *Measures* that such a

II. The Measures a Patriot King will take.

King

King must pursue, to merit a much nobler Title than all those which many Princes of the West as well as the East, are so proud to accumulate.

1. First then, he must begin to govern as soon as he begins to Reign. For the very first Steps he makes in Government will give the first Impression, and as it were the Presage of his Reign; and may be of great importance in many other respects besides that of Opinion and Reputation. His first Care will be, no doubt, to *purge his Court*, and to call into the Administration such Men, as he can assure himself will *serve on the same Principles* on which he intends to *govern*.

As to the first Point, if the precedent Reign has been bad, we know how he will find the Court composed. The Men in Power will be some of those Adventurers, busy and bold, who thrust and crowd themselves early into the Intrigue of Party and the Management of Affairs of State, often without true Ability, always

1. First, to purge his Court of the Bad.

without true Ambition, or even the Appearances of Virtue: Who mean nothing more than what is called making a Fortune, the acquisition of Wealth to satisfy Avarice, and of Titles and Ribbands to satisfy Vanity. Such as these are sure to be employ'd by a weak, or a wicked King: They impose on the first, and are chosen by the last. Nor is it marvellous that they are so, since every other Want is supplied in them by the Want of good Principles and a good Conscience; and since these Defects become Ministerial Perfections, in any Reign when Measures are pursued and Designs carried on that every honest Man will disapprove. All the Prostitutes who set themselves to Sale, all the Locusts who devour the Land, with Crowds of Spies Parasites and Sycophants, will surround the Throne under the Patronage of such Ministers; and whole Swarms of noisome, nameless Insects will buzz in every corner of the Court. Such Ministers will be cast off, and such Abettors of a Ministry will be chased away together, and at once, by a Patriot King.

Some

Some of them perhaps will be abandoned by him; but not to Party-Fury, but to National Justice; not to sate private Resentments, or to serve particular Interests, but to make Satisfaction for Wrongs done to their Country, and to stand as Examples of Terror to future Administrations. Clemency makes no doubt an amiable part of the Character I attempt to draw, but Clemency to be a Virtue must have its Bounds like other Virtues, and surely these Bounds are extended enough by a Maxim I have read somewhere, that Frailties and even Vices may be pass'd over, but not enormous Crimes: *Multa donanda ingeniis puto, sed donanda vitia, non portenta.*

Among the bad Company with which such a Court will abound, may be reckoned a sort of Men too low to be much regarded, and too high to be quite neglected; the Lumber of every Administration, the Furniture of every Court. These gilt carved Things are seldom answerable for more than the Men on a Chess-board, who are moved about at Will, and on whom the
Conduct

Conduct of the Game is not to be charged. Some of these every Prince must have about him. The Pageantry of a Court requires that he should, and this Pageantry, like many other despicable things, ought not to be laid aside. But as much Sameness as there may appear in the Characters of this sort of Men, there is one Distinction to be made, when ever a good Prince succeeds to the Throne after an iniquitous Administration: The Distinction I mean is, between those who have affected to dip themselves deeply in precedent Iniquities, and those who have had the Virtue to keep aloof from them, or the good luck not to be called to any share in them. And thus much for the first Point, that of purging his Court.

2. As to the Second, that of calling to his Administration such Men as he can assure himself will *serve* on the *same Principles* on which he intends to *govern*, there is no need to enlarge much upon it. A good Prince will no more chuse ill Men,

2. To make choice of the Good and Able.

than a wise Prince will chuse Fools. Deception in one case is indeed more easy than in the other, because a Knave may be an artful Hypocrite, whereas a silly Fellow can never impose himself for a Man of Sense. But least of all in a Country like ours, can either of these Deceptions happen, if any degree of Discernment of Spirits be employed to chuse: The reason is, because every Man here, who stands forward enough in Rank and Reputation to be called to the Councils of his King, must beforehand have given Proofs of his Patriotism as well as of his Capacity, if he has either, sufficient to determine his general Character.

C H A P. IX.

- I. *How to judge of the Ability of Ministers.*
- II. *Distinction between Wisdom and Cunning.*

THere is however, one Distinction to be made as to the Capacity of Ministers,

nisters, on which I will insist a little : because I think it very important at all times, particularly so at this time ; and because it most commonly escapes Observation. The Distinction I mean is that between a Cunning man and a Wise man : and it is one that is built on a manifest difference in Nature, how imperceptible soever it may become to weak eyes, or to eyes that look at their Object thro' the false Medium of Custom and Habit. My Lord Bacon says that Cunning is *left-handed* or *crooked Wisdom*. I would rather say that it is a part, but the lowest part of Wisdom ; employed alone by some, because they have not the other parts to employ ; and by others because it is as much as they want, within those bounds of Action which they prescribe to themselves, and sufficient for the Ends they propose. The difference seems to consist in Degree and Application, rather than in Kind. Wisdom is neither left-handed, nor crooked : But the Heads of some Men contain little, and the Hearts of others employ it wrong. To use my Lord Bacon's own Comparison, the Cunning-man knows how to Pack the Cards,

Cards, the Wise-man how to play the Game better : but it would be of no use to the first to Pack the Cards, if his Knowledge stopped here, and he had no Skill in the Game ; nor to the second to play the Game better, if he did not know how to Pack the Cards, that he might unpack them by new Shuffling. Inferior Wisdom or Cunning may get the better of Folly, but superior Wisdom will get the better of Cunning. Wisdom and Cunning have often the same Objects, but a Wise-man will have more and greater in his view. The least will not fill his Soul, nor ever become the Principal there, but will be pursued in subserviency, in subordination at least, to the other. Wisdom and Cunning may employ sometimes the same Means too : But the Wise-man stoops to these Means, and the other cannot rise above them. * Simulation and Diffimulation for instance are the chief Arts of Cunning : The first will be esteemed always by a Wise-man unworthy of him, and will be

* Chief Arts of Cunning.

L

therefore

therefore avoided by him, in every possible case ; for to resume my Lord *Bacon's* Comparison, Simulation is put on that we may look into the Cards of another, whereas Diffimulation intends nothing more than to hide our own. Simulation is a Stiletto, not only an offensive, but an unlawful Weapon, and the Use of it may be very rarely excused, but never justified. Diffimulation is a Shield, as Secrecy is an Armour, and it is no more possible to preserve Secrecy in the Administration of publick Affairs without some degree of Diffimulation, than it is to succeed in it without Secrecy. Those two Arts of Cunning are like the Alloy mingled with pure Ore. A little is necessary and will not debase the Coin below its proper Standard, but if more than that little be employ'd, the Coin loses its currency, and the Coiner his Credit.

We may observe much the same difference between Wisdom and Cunning, both as to the Objects they propose, and to the Means they employ, as we observe between the visual Powers of different Men : One sees distinctly the Objects that are near to him,

him; their immediate relations, and their direct tendency; and a Sight like this serves well enough the purpose of those who concern themselves no further. The cunning Minister is one of those: he neither sees nor is concern'd to see any further, than his personal Interests, and the Support of his Administration require. If such a Man overcomes any actual Difficulty, avoids any immediate Distress; or without doing either of these effectually, gains a little Time, by all the low Artifice which Cunning is ready to suggest and Baseness of Mind to employ; he triumphs, and is flatter'd by his mercenary Train on the great Event, which amounts often to no more than this, that he got into Distress by one Series of Faults, and out of it by another. The wise Minister sees, and is concern'd to see further, because Government has a further concern: he sees the Objects that are distant as well as those that are near, and all their remote Relations, and even their indirect Tendencies: he thinks of Fame as well as of Applause, and prefers that which to be enjoyed must be given, to that which may be bought.

He considers his Administration as a single Day in the great Year of Government, but as a Day that is affected by those which went before, and that must affect those which are to follow. He combines therefore and compares all these Objects, Relations, and Tendencies, and the Judgment he makes on an entire, not a partial survey of them, is the Rule of his Conduct. That Scheme of the Reason of State which lies open before a wise Minister contains all the great Principles of Government, and all the great Interests of his Country: so that as he prepares some Events, he prepares against others, whether they be likely to happen during his Administration, or in some future time.

Many Reflections might be added to these, and many Examples be brought to illustrate them. Some I could draw from the Men I have seen at the Head of Business, and make very strong Contrasts of Men of great Wisdom with those of meer Cunning. I could quote among the former my Lord *Somers*, notwithstanding his low Education and his narrow Principles; and among the latter the Marquis of *Whar-*
ton.

ton. The Days may come perhaps, (and I trust they will) when you will be able to make such Contrasts as these from your own Experience ; for cunning Men there will always be on the publick Stage, and some time or other, perhaps, there may be wise Men. But I conclude this head, that I may proceed to another of no less Importance.

CHAP. X.

- I. *That a Patriot King ought to espouse no Party.*
- II. *The Evil of governing by one, either in a State united or divided.*

TO espouse no Party, but to govern like the common Father of his People, is so essential to the Character of a Patriot King, that he who does otherwise forfeits the Title. It is the peculiar Priviledge and Glory of this Character, that Princes who maintain it, and they alone, are so far from the Necessity, that they

they are not exposed to the Temptation, of governing by a *Party*: which must always end in the Government of a *Faction*; (the *Faction* of the *Prince* if he has Ability, the *Faction* of his *Ministers* if he has not) and either one way or the other in the Oppression of the People. For *Faction* is to *Party* what the *Superlative* is to the *Positive*: *Party* is a political Evil, and *Faction* is the *worst* of all *Parties*. The true Image of a Free People governed by a Patriot King, is that of a patriarchal Family, where the Head and all the Members are united by one common Interest, and animated by one common Spirit; and where, if any are perverse enough to have another, they will be soon born down by the Superiority of those who have the same; which far from making a *Division*, will but confirm the *Union* of the little State. That to approach as near as possible to these Ideas of perfect Government, and sociable Happiness under it, is desirable in every State, no Man will be absurd enough to deny. The sole Question is therefore, how near to them it is possible to attain? For if this At-

tempt

tempt be not absolutely impracticable, all the Views of a Patriot King will be directed to make it succeed. Instead of abetting the Divisions of his People, he will endeavour to unite them, and to be himself the Center of their Union: Instead of putting himself at the Head of *one Party* in Order to govern *his People*, he will put himself at the Head of *his People* in Order to govern, or more properly to subdue *all Parties*. To arrive at this desirable Union, and to maintain it, will indeed be found more difficult in some cases than in others, but absolutely impossible in none, to a wise and good Prince.

II. If his People are *united* in their Submission to him, and in their Attachment to the establish'd Government, he must not only *espouse* but *create* a Party, in Order to govern by *One*; and what should tempt him to pursue so wild a Measure? A Prince who aims at more Power than the Constitution gives him, may be so tempted; because he may hope to obtain in the Disorders of the State what cannot

II. The Evil of governing by Party, in a State *united*.

be obtained in quiet Times ; and because contending Parties will give what a Nation will not. Parties even before they degenerate into Factions, are still Numbers of Men associated together for certain Purposes, and certain Interests, which are not, or which are not allowed by others to be those of the Community. A more private or personal Interest comes but too soon and too often, to be super-added, and to grow predominant in them ; and when it does so, whatever Occasions or Principles began to form them, the same Logic prevails in them as prevails in every Church. The Interest of the State is supposed to be that of the Party, as the Interest of Religion is supposed to be that of the Church ; and with this Pretence or Prepossession, the Interest of the State becomes, like that of Religion, a remote Consideration, is never pursued for its own sake, and is often sacrificed to the other. A King therefore who has ill Designs to carry on, must endeavour to divide an united People, and by blending or seeming to blend his Interests with that of a Party, he may succeed perhaps, and
his

his Party and he may share the Spoils of a ruin'd Nation: Such a Party is then become a Faction, such a King is a Tyrant, and such a Government is a Conspiracy. A Patriot King must renounce his Character to have such Designs, or act against his own Designs to pursue such Methods. Both are too absurd to be supposed. It remains therefore, that as all the good Ends of Government are most attainable in an united State, and as the Divisions of a People can serve to bad Purposes alone, the King we suppose here will deem the Union of his Subjects his greatest Advantage, and will think himself happy to find that established, which he would have employed the whole Labour of his Life to bring about. This seems so plain, that I am ready to make Excuses for having insisted at all upon it.

II. Let us turn ourselves to another Supposition, to that of a *divided State*. This will fall in oftener with the ordinary Course of Things in Free Governments, and e-

II. The Evil of governing by Party, in a *State divided*.

M

specially

pecially after iniquitous and weak Administrations. Such a State may be better or worse, and the great and good Purposes of a Patriot King more or less attainable in it, according to the different Nature of those *Divisions*, and therefore we will consider this State in different Lights.

A People may be *united* in Submission to the Prince, and to the Establishment, and yet be *divided* about *general Principles*, or *particular Measures* of Government. In the first Case, they will do by their Constitution what has been frequently done by the Scripture ; strain it to their own Actions and Prejudices, and if they cannot strain it, alter it as much as is necessary to render it conformable to them. In the second, they will support or oppose particular Acts of Administrations, and defend, or attack the Persons employed in them : And both these ways a Conflict of Parties may arise, but no great Difficulty to a Prince who determines to pursue the Union of his Subjects, and the Prosperity of his Kingdoms, independantly of all Parties.

When

When Parties are divided by different Notions and Principles concerning some particular Ecclesiastical or Civil Institutions, the Constitution which should be *Their* Rule, must be that of the Prince. He may and he ought to shew his dislike or his favour, as he judges the Constitution may be hurt or improved, by one side or the other. The Hurt he is never to suffer, not for his own sake ; and therefore surely not for the sake of any whimsical Factions, or ambitious Sett of Men. The Improvement he must always desire, but as every new modification in a Scheme of Government and of national Policy, is a matter of great importance, and requires more and deeper consideration than the warmth and hurry, and rashness of Party-conduct admit, the Duty of a Prince seems to require that he should render by his Influence the Proceedings more *orderly* and more *deliberate*, even when he approves the End to which they are directed. All this may be done by him without fomenting Division ; and far from forming, or espousing a Party, he will defeat Party in

defence of the Constitution, on some occasions ; and lead Men from acting with a Party-Spirit, to act with a National-Spirit, on others.

When the Division is about *particular Measures* of Government, and the Conduct of the Administration is alone concerned, a Patriot King will stand in want of Party as little as in any other case. Under his Reign, the Opportunities of forming an Opposition of this sort will be rare, and the Pretences generally weak. Nay the Motives to it will lose much of their force, when a Government is strong in Reputation, and Men are kept in good humour by feeling the Rod of a Party on no occasion, tho' they feel the Weight of the Scepter on some. Such opportunities however may happen, and there may be Reason as well as Pretences sometimes for Opposition even in such a Reign : at least we will suppose so, that we may include in this Argument every contingent Case. Grievances then are complained of, Mistakes, and Abuses in Government are pointed out, and Ministers are prosecuted
by

by their Enemies. Shall the Prince on the Throne form a Party by Intrigue, and by secret and corrupt Influence, to oppose the prosecution? When the Prince and the Ministers are *participes criminis*, when every thing is to be defended, lest something should come out, that may unravel the silly wicked Scheme, and disclose to publick sight the whole Turpitude of the Administration; there is then indeed no help, this must be done, and such a Party must be formed, because such a Party alone will submit to a Drudgery of this kind. But a Prince who is not in these Circumstances, will not have recourse to these Means: He has others more open, more noble, and more effectual in his Power: He knows that the Views of his Government are right, and that the Tenor of his Administration is good; but he knows that neither he nor his Ministers are infallible, nor impeccable. There may be Abuses in his Government, Mistakes in his Administration, and Guilt in his Ministers, which he has not observed; and he will be far from imputing the Complaints that give him occasion to observe them, to a Spirit
of

of Party, much less will he treat those who carry on such Prosecutions in a legal manner as Incendiaries, and as Enemies to his Government. On the contrary he will distinguish the Voice of his People from the Clamour of a Faction, and will hearken to it. He will redress Grievances, correct Errors, and reform or punish Ministers. This he will do as a good Prince; and as a wise one, he will do it in such a manner that his Dignity shall be maintained, and that his Authority shall increase with his Reputation by it.

Should the Efforts of a meer Faction be bent to calumniate his Government, and to distress the Administration on groundless Pretences, and for insufficient Reasons; he will not neglect, but he will not apprehend neither, the short-lived and contemptible Scheme. He will indeed have no Reason to do so; for let the Factors of Male-administration, whenever an Opposition is made to it, affect to insinuate as much as they please, that their Masters are in no other circumstances than those to which the very best Ministers stand exposed (objects of general Envy and of particular

particular Malice) it will remain eternally true, that any groundless Opposition, in a well-regulated Monarchy, can never be strong and durable. To be convinc'd of the Truth of this Proposition, one needs only to reflect how many well grounded attacks have been defeated, and how few have succeeded, against the most wicked and the weakest Administrations. Every King, every King of *Britain* at least, has Means enough in his Power to defeat and to calm Opposition. But a Patriot King above all others, may safely rest his Cause on the Innocency of his Administration, on the constitutional Strength of the Crown, and on the Concurrence of his People, to whom he dares appeal, and by whom he will be supported.

To conclude all I will say on the Divisions of this kind, let me add, that the Case of a groundless Opposition can hardly happen in a bad Reign, because in such a Reign just Occasions of Opposition must of course be frequently given (as we have allowed that they may be given sometimes, tho' very rarely, in a good Reign) but that whether it be well or ill grounded, whether

ther it be that of the Nation, or that of a Faction, the Conduct of a bad Prince with respect to it will be the same; and one way or other this Conduct must have a very fatal Event. Such a Prince will not mend the Administration as long as he can resist the justest and most popular Opposition, and therefore the Opposition will last and grow, as long as a Free Constitution is in force, and the Spirit of Liberty is preserved; for so long even a Change of his Ministers, without a Change of his Measures, will not be sufficient. The former without the latter is a meer banter, and would be deemed and taken for such, by every Man who did not oppose on a factious Principle; that I mean of getting into Power at any rate, and using it as ill as the Men he helped to turn out of it. Now if such Men as these abound, (and they will abound in the decline of a Free Government,) a bad Prince whether he changes, or does not change his Ministers, may hope to govern by the Spirit and Art of a Faction, against the Spirit and Strength of the Nation. His Character may be too low, and that of his Minister too odious,

to

to form originally even a Faction that shall be able to defend them. But they may apply to their Purposes a Party that was formed on far different Occasions, and bring Numbers to fight for a Cause in which many of them would not have list-ed. The Names, and with the Names the Animosity of Parties, may be kept up, when the Causes that formed them subsist no longer.

When a Party is thus revived or continued in the Spirit of a Faction, the corrupt and the infatuated Members of it will act without any regard to Right or Wrong; and they who have asserted Liberty in one Reign, or against Invasions of one kind, will give it up in another Reign, and abet Invasions of another kind; tho' they still distinguish themselves by the same Apellation, still spread the same Banner, and still deafen their Adversaries and one another with the same Cry. If the national Cause prevails against all the wicked Arts of Corruption and Division, that an obstinate Prince and flagitious Ministry can employ; yet will the struggle be long, and the difficulties, the distresses, and the dan-

N

ger

ger great, both to the King and to the People. The best he can hope for in such a case, will be to escape with a diminution of his Reputation, Authority, and Power. He may be exposed to something worse, and his obstinacy may force things to such Extremities, as they who oppose him will lament, and as the Preservation of Liberty and good Government can alone justify. If the wicked Arts I speak of prevail, Faction will be propagated thro' the whole Nation, an ill or well grounded Opposition will be the question no longer, and the contest among Parties will be who shall govern, not how they shall be governed. In short, universal Confusion will follow, and a compleat Victory on any side will enslave all sides.

I have not overcharged the Draught: Such Consequences must follow such a Conduct; and therefore let me ask how much more safe, more easy, more pleasant, more honourable is it, for a Prince to correct, if he has not prevented Male-administration? that he may be able to rest his Cause (as I said before) on the
Strength

Strength of the Crown and the Concurrence of his People, whenever any Faction presumes to rise in opposition to him.

C H A P. XI.

- I. *How to conduct himself with regard to Parties, 1. Even in the greatest Extremities, and 2. After a contrary Conduct in former Reigns.*
- II. *A Digression, applying this to the Case of the Jacobites.*

BUT shall a Patriot King never favour one Party, and discourage another, upon occasions wherein the State of his Kingdom makes such a temporary Measure necessary? Yes, he may for a time favour, but he will espouse none, much less will he proscribe any. He will list no Party, much less will he do the meanest and most imprudent thing a King can do, list himself in any. It will be his aim to pursue true Principles of Government independently of all: and by a

steddy adherence to this Measure, his Reign will become an undeniable and glorious proof, that a wise and good Prince may unite his Subjects, and be himself the Center of their Union, notwithstanding any of these Divisions that have been hitherto mentioned.

1. Let us now view the divided State of a Nation in another Light. In this the Divisions will appear more odious, more dangerous; less dependent on the Influence, and less subject to the Authority of the Crown. Such will be the State, whenever a People is *divided* about *Submission to their Prince*, and a Party is formed of Spirit and Strength sufficient to oppose, even in Arms, the established Government. But in this case, desperate as it may seem, a Patriot King will not despair of reconciling, and reuniting his Subjects to himself, and to one another. He may be oblig'd perhaps as *Henry the Fourth of France* was, to conquer his own; but then like that great Prince, if he is the Con-

1. Even in the greatest Extremities.

queror,

queror, he will be the Father too of his People. He must pursue in Arms those who presume to take Arms against him ; but he will pursue them like rebellious Children whom he seeks to reclaim, and not like irreconcilable Enemies whom he endeavours to exterminate. Another Prince may blow up the Flame of Civil War by unprovoked Severity, render those zealous against him who were at worst indifferent, and determine the Disaffection of others to open Rebellion. When he has prevailed against the Faction he helped to form, as he could not have prevailed if the Bent of the Nation had been against him, he may be willing to ascribe his Success to a *Party*, that he may have that Pretence to govern by a Party: Far from reconciling the Minds that have been alienated from him, and reuniting his Subjects in a willing unforced Submission to him, he may be content to maintain himself on the Throne where the Laws of God and Man have placed him, by the melancholy Expedient that Usurpers and Tyrants, who have no other in their Power, employ ; the Expedient of Force.

But

But a Patriot King will act with another Spirit, and entertain nobler and wiser Views from first to last, and through the whole course of such a conjuncture. Nothing less than the Hearts of his People will content such a Prince, nor will he think his Throne established till it is established there. That he may have time and opportunity to gain them therefore, he will prevent the Flame from breaking out, if by Art and Management he can do it; if he cannot, he will endeavour to keep it from spreading; and if the Phrenzy of Rebellion disappoints him in both these attempts, he will remember Peace, like the heroick King I just now quoted, in the midst of War. Like him he will forego Advantages of pushing the latter, rather than lose an opportunity of promoting the former: Like him, in the heat of Battle he will spare, and in the triumph of Victory condescend: Like him, he will beat down the Violence of this Flame by his Valour, and extinguish even the Embers of it, by his Lenity.

2. It may happen, that a Prince capable of holding such a Conduct as this, may not have the opportunity: He may succeed to the Throne after a contrary Conduct has been held; and when among other Divisions, which Male-administration and the Tyranny of Faction have increased and confirmed, there is one against the established Government still in being, tho' not still in Arms. The Use is obvious, which a Faction in Power might make of such a Circumstance under a weak Prince, by ranking in that Division all those who opposed the Administration, or at least by holding out equal Danger to him from two quarters, from their Enemies who meant him no harm, and from his Enemies who could do him none. But so gross an Artifice will not impose on a Prince of another Character, he will soon discern the Distinctions it becomes him to make. He will see in this Instance how Faction breeds, nourishes, and perpetuates Faction: He will observe how far that of the Court contributed to

2. After a contrary Conduct in former Reigns.

form.

form the other, and contributes still to keep it in countenance and credit, among those who consider more what such Men are against, than what they are for. He will observe, how much that of the Disaffected gives pretence to the other who keeps a Monopoly of Power and Wealth, one of which oppresses, and the other beggars, the rest of the Nation : His penetration will soon discover, that these Factions break in but little on the Body of his People, and that it depends on him alone to take from them even the Strength they have ; because that of the former is acquired entirely by his Authority and Purse, and that of the latter principally by the Abuse which the former makes of both. Upon the whole, the Measures he has to pursue towards the great Object of a Patriot King, the Union of his People, will appear to him extreamly easy. How should they be otherwise ? One of the Factions must be dissolved the moment that the Favour of the Prince is withdrawn, and the other is disarmed as soon as it is marked out. It will have no shelter, and it must therefore be so marked out, under
a good

a good and wise Administration; for whether the Members of it avow their Principles by refusing those Tests of Fidelity which the Law requires, or perjure themselves by taking them, they will be known alike. One Difference, and but one will be made between them in the general Sense of Mankind, a Difference arising from the greater Degree of Infamy that will belong justly to the latter. The first may pass for Fools: The latter must pass without Excuse for Knaves.

II. The Terms I use sound harshly, but the Censure is just, and it will appear to be so in the highest degree, and upon the highest Reason, if we stop to make a Reflection or two that deserve very well to be made, on the Conduct of our Jacobites, for I desire no stronger Instance on which to establish the Censure, and to justify the Terms I have used. All these Men, whether they swear, or whether they do not, are liable to one particular Objection, that did not lye against those

II. A Digression, applying this to the Case of the Jacobites.

O

who

who were in former days Enemies to the King on the Throne. In the Days of *York* and *Lancaster*, for instance, a Man might be against the Prince on the Throne without being against the Constitution of his Country. The *Constitution* convey'd the Crown by *Hereditary Right* in the same Family ; and he who was a *Yorkist*, and he who was a *Lancastrian*, might, and I doubt not did, pretend in every Contest to have this Right on his side. The same *Constitution* was acknowledged by both, and therefore so much Indulgence was shewn by the Law to both, at least in the Time of *Henry* the Seventh, that Submission to a *King de facto*, could not be imputed as a Crime to either. Thus again, to descend lower in History, when the Exclusion of the Duke of *York* was pressed in the Reign of *Charles* the Second, the Right of that Prince to the Crown was not disputed. His *divine Right* indeed, such a divine Right as his Grandfather and Father had asserted before him, was not much regarded, but his Right by the Constitution, his *legal Right*, was sufficiently owned by those who insisted
on

on a Law as necessary to barr it. But every Jacobite at this time goes beyond all these Examples, and is a Rebel to the *Constitution* under which he is born, as well as to the *Prince* on the Throne. The Law of his Country has settled the Right of Succession in a *new Family*. He resists this Law, asserts on his own private Authority, not only a Right in Contradiction to it, but a Right extinguished by it. This Absurdity is so great, that it cannot be defended except by advancing a greater ; and therefore it is urged, that no Power on Earth could alter the Constitution in this Respect, nor extinguish a Right to the Crown inherent in the *Stuart's* Family, and derived from a Superior, that is from a Divine, Authority. This kind of Plea for refusing Submission to the Laws of the Land, if it was admitted, would serve any Purpose as well as that for which it is brought. Our Fanaticks urged it formerly, and I do not see why a conscientious Fifth Monarchy-Man had not as much Right to urge it formerly, as a Jacobite has now. But if Conscience, that is private Opinion, may excuse the Fifth

Monarchy-Man and the Jacobite, who act conformably to it, from all Imputations except those of Madness and Folly; how shall the latter be excused when he forswears the Principles he retains, and acknowledges the Right he renounces, takes Oaths with an Intent to violate them, and calls God to witness to a premeditated Lie? Some Casuistry has been employed to excuse these Men to themselves and to others. But such Casuistry and in Truth every other, destroys by Distinctions and Exceptions, all Morality, and effaces the essential Difference between Right and Wrong, Good and Evil. This the Schoolmen in general have done on many occasions, the Sons of *Loyola* in particular, and I wish with all my heart that nothing of the same kind could be objected to any other Divines. Some political Reasoning has been employed, as well as the Casuistry here spoken of, and to the same Purpose: It has been said, that the Conduct of those who are Enemies to the Establishment to which they submit and swear, is justify'd by the Principles of the Revolution. But nothing
can

can be more false and frivolous. By the Principles of the Revolution a Subject may resist, no doubt, the Prince who endeavours to ruin and enslave his People, may push this Resistance to the Dethronement and Exclusion of him and his Race : But will it follow, that because we may justly take Arms against a Prince whose Right to govern we once acknowledged, and who by subsequent Acts has forfeited that Right ; we may swear to a Right we do not acknowledge, and resist a Prince whose Conduct has not forfeited the Right he swore to, nor given any just Dispensation from our Oaths ?

But I shall lengthen this Digression no further : I return to the Subject of my present Discourse. Such Factions as these can never create any Obstruction to a Prince, who pursues the Union of his Subjects, nor disturb the Peace of his Government. The Men who compose them must be desperate, and impotent, the most despicable of all Characters. Every honest and sensible Man will distinguish himself out of their Number, and they will remain, as they deserve to be, Hewers of
Wood,

Wood, and Drawers of Water, to the rest of their Fellow Subjects.

They will remain such, if they are abandoned to themselves, and to that habitual Infatuation which they have not Sense and Spirit enough to break. But if a Prince, out of Goodness or of Policy, should think it worth his while to take them from under this Influence, and to break these Habits; even this Division, the most abus'd of all others, will not be found incurable. A Man who has not seen the inside of the Parties, nor had Opportunities to examine nearly their secret Motives, can hardly conceive how little a share, Principle of any sort, (tho' Principle of some sort or other be always pretended) has, in the Determination of their Conduct. Reason has small Effect on Numbers: A turn of Imagination, often as violent and as sudden as a gust of Wind, determines their Conduct; and Passion is taken by others, and by themselves too, when it grows into Habit especially, for Principle. What gave Strength and Spirit to a Jacobite Party after the late King's Accession? I have said it already, a sudden

den Turn of the Imaginations of a whole Party to Resentment and Rage, that were turned a little before to quiet Submission, and patient Expectation. Principle had as little Share in making the Turn, as Reason had in conducting it. Men who had Sense, and Temper too before that moment, thought after it but of setting up a Tory King against a Whig King; and when they were asked, if they were sure a Popish King would make a good Tory King? or whether they were determined to sacrifice their Religion and Liberty to him? the answer was, No; they would take Arms against him if he made Attempts on either; that this might be the Case perhaps in six Months after his Restoration, but that in the mean time they would endeavour his Restoration. This is no exaggerated Fact, and I leave you to judge to what such Sentiments and Conduct must be ascribed, to Principle or Passion, to Reason or Madness? What gives Obstinacy without Strength, and Sullenness without Spirit, to the Tories at this time? Another Turn of Imagination, or rather the same shewing itself in another Form.

Form. A factious Habit, and a factious Notion, converted into a Notion of Policy and Honour. They are taught to believe, that by clinging together they are a considerable Weight, which may be thrown in to turn the Scale in any great Event; and that in the mean time to be a steady suffering Party, is an Honour they may flatter themselves with very justly. Thus they continue steady to Engagements which most of them wish in their Hearts they had never taken; and suffer for Principles, in support of which not one of them would venture further than talking the Treason that Claret inspires.

CHAP. XII.

- I. *Objections rais'd to the Practicability of governing without a Party, answer'd.*
- II. *The Original and Causes of Faction.*
- III. *The Example of Queen Elizabeth, as to Party.*

IT results therefore from all that has been said and from the Reflections which these Hints may suggest, that in whatever Light we view the *Divided State* of a People, there is none in which these Divisions will appear incurable, nor an Union of the Members of a great Community with one another, and with their Head, unattainable. It may happen in this case as it does in many others, that things uncommon may pass for improbable or impossible ; and as nothing can be more uncommon than a Patriot King, there will be no room to wonder if the natural and certain Effects of his Conduct

P

should

should appear improbable or impossible to many. But there is still something more in this case. Tho' the Union we speak of be so much for the Interest of every King and every People, that their Glory and their Prosperity must increase or diminish, in proportion as they approach nearer to it or are further removed from it; yet is there another Interest, by which Princes and People both are often imposed upon so far as to mistake it for their own. The Interest I mean is that of *private Ambition*. It would be easy to shew in many Instances, and particularly in this (of uniting instead of dividing, and governing by a National Concurrence instead of governing by the Management of Parties and Façtions in the State) how widely different, nay how repugnant the Interest of *private Ambition* and those of *real Patriotism* are. Men therefore who are warmed by the first, and have no Sense of the last, will declare for *Division*, as they do for *Corruption*, in opposition to *Union* and to *Integrity* of Government. They will not indeed declare directly that the two former are in the Abstract preferable, but

but they will affirm with great Airs of Sufficiency that both are incurable ; and conclude from hence, that in Practice it is necessary to comply with both. This Subterfuge once open, there is no false and immoral Measure in political Management, which may not be avowed and recommended. But the very Men who hope to escape by opening it, shut it up again, and secure their own Condemnation, when they labour to *confirm* Divisions, and to *propagate* Corruption, and thereby to *create* the very *Necessity* that they plead in their Excuse. Necessity of this kind there is in Reality none ; for it seems full as absurd to say, that popular Divisions must be cultivated because popular Union cannot be procured, as it would be to say that Poison must be poured into a Wound because it cannot be healed. The Practice of Morality in private Life will never arrive at ideal Perfection ; must we give up ourselves therefore to all Manner of Immorality ? And must those who are charged with our Instruction endeavour to make us the most profligate of Men, because they cannot make us Saints ?

II. Experience of the Depravity of human Nature made Men desirous to unite in Society and under Government, that they might defend themselves the better against Injuries : But the same Depravity soon inspired to some, the Design of employing Societies to invade and spoil Societies; and to disturb the Peace of the great Common-wealth of Mankind, with more Force and Effect in such collective Bodies, than they could do individually. Just so it happens in the domestick Oeconomy of particular States ; their Peace is disturbed by the same Passions : Some of their Members content themselves with the common Benefits of Society, and employ all their Industry to promote the publick Good : But some propose to themselves a separate Interest, and that they may pursue it the more effectually, they associate with others. Thus *Factions* are in them, what *Nations* are in the World : they invade and rob one another ; and while each pursues a separate Interest, the common Interest is sacrificed by them

II. The Original and Causes of Faction.

all ;

all ; that of Mankind in one Case, that of some particular Community in the other. This has been and must always be in some measure the Course of human Affairs, especially in free Countries, where the Passions of Men are less restrained by Authority ; and I am not wild enough to suppose that a Patriot King can change Human Nature. But I am reasonable enough to suppose, that without altering Human Nature he may give a Check to this Course of Human Affairs, in his own Kingdom at least ; that he may defeat the Designs, and break the Spirit of Faction, instead of partaking in one, and assuming the other ; and that if he cannot render the Union of his Subjects universal, he may render it so general, as to answer all the Ends of good Government, private Security, publick Tranquility, Wealth, Power, and Fame.

III. If these Ends were ever answered, they were so surely in this Country, in

III. Example of *Queen Elizabeth*, as to Parry.

the

the Days of our *Elizabeth*; She found her Kingdom full of Fa^ctions, and Fac^tions of greater Consequence and Danger than these of our days, whom she would have dispersed with a Puff of her Breath. She could not re-unite them, it is true; the Papist continued a Papist, the Puritan a Puritan; one furious, the other sullen. But she united the great Body of the People in her and their *common Interest*, she inflamed them with *one National Spirit*, and thus armed, she maintained Tranquility at Home, and carry'd Succour to her Friends and Terror to her Enemies abroad. There were Cabals at her Court, and Intrigues among her Ministers. It is said too that she did not dislike that there should be such. But these were kept within her Court, they could not creep abroad, to sow Division among her People; and her greatest Favourite the Earl of *Essex* paid the Price of attempting it with his Head. Let our great Doctors in Politicks, who preach so learnedly on the trite Text *divide & impera*, compare the Conduct of *Elizabeth* in this respect with that of her Successor, who
endea-

a PATRIOT KING. III

endeavoured to govern his Kingdom by the Notions of a *Faction* that he raised, and to manage his *Parliament* by *Undertakers*. They must be very obstinate if they refuse to acknowledge, that a wise and good Prince can unite a divided People, tho' a weak and wicked Prince cannot : And that the Consequences of National Union, are Glory and Happiness to the Prince and to the People, whilst those of Dis-union bring Shame and Misery on both, and entail them too on Posterity.

CHAP.

C H A P. XIII.

- I. *That, notwithstanding all Objections, a King may be an honest Man, and Great, by Patriotism.*
- II. *That his Interest and his Country's will be the same.*
- III. *What is the particular and true Interest of Great Britain.*

I Have dwelt long on the last Head, not only because it is of great Importance in itself, and at all times, but because it is render'd more so than ever at this time, by the unexampled Avowal of contrary Principles. Hitherto it has been thought the highest Pitch of Profligacy to own, instead of concealing Crimes, and to take Pride in them, instead of being asham'd of them. But in our Age Men have soared to a Pitch still higher. The first is common, it is the Practice of Numbers, and by their Numbers they keep one another in Countenance. But the choice Spirits of these Days,

Days, the Men of Mode in Politicks, are far from stopping where Criminals of all Kinds have stopt when they have gone even to this Point, for generally the most harden'd of the Inhabitants of *Newgate* do not go so far. The Men I speak of contend, that it is not enough to be vicious by *Practice* and *Habit* but that it is necessary to be so by *Principle*. They make themselves Missionaries of Faction as well as of Corruption, they recommend both, they deride all such as imagine it possible or fit, to retain Truth, Integrity, and a dis-interested Regard to the Publick in publick Life, and pronounce every Man a Fool who is not ready to act like a Knave. I hope that enough has been said, tho' much more might have been said, to expose the Wickedness of these Men, and the Absurdity of their Schemes; and to shew that a King may walk more easily and successfully in other Paths of Government, *per tutum planumque iter Religionis, Justitiæ, honestatis, virtutumque moralium*. Let me proceed therefore to mention two other Heads of the Conduct that such a

Q

King

King will hold, and it shall be my endeavour not to fall into the same Prolixity.

A King who esteems it his Duty to support, or to restore (if that be needful) the free Constitution of a limited Monarchy; who forms and maintains a wise and good Administration; who subdues Faction, and promotes the Union of his People; and who makes their greatest Good the constant Object of his Government; may be said (no doubt) to be in the true Interest of his Kingdom. All the particular Cases that can arise are included in these general Characteristicks of a wise and good Reign. And yet it seems proper to mention under a distinct head, some particular Instances that have not been touched, wherein this Wisdom and Goodness will exert themselves.

Now tho' the True Interest of several States may be the same in many respects, yet is there always some Difference to be perceiv'd by a discerning Eye, both in these Interests, and in the Manner of pursuing them; a Difference that arises from the Situation of Countries, from the Character

ster of the People, from the Nature of Government, and even from that of Climate and Soil ; from Circumstances that are like these permanent, and from others that may be deemed more accidental. To illustrate all this by Examples, would be easy, but long. I shall content myself therefore to mention the Difference that arises from the Causes referr'd to, in some Instances only, between the true Interest of our Country, and that of some or all of our Neighbours on the Continent ; and leave you to extend and apply in your Thoughts the Comparison I shall hint at, rather than enlarge upon.

II. The Situation of *Great Britain*, the Character of her People, and the Nature of her Government fit her for Trade and Commerce. Her Climate and her Soil make them necessary to her well being. By Trade and Commerce we grow a rich and powerful Nation, and by their Decay we grow poor and impotent. As

II. The particular and true Interest of *Great Britain* from its Situation, Character, and Form of Government.

Q 2

Trade

Trade and Commerce enrich, so they fortify our Country. The Sea is our Barrier, Ships are our Fortresses, and the Mariners that Trade and Commerce alone can furnish, are the Garrisons to defend them. *France* lies under great Disadvantages in Trade and Commerce by the Nature of her Government. Her Advantages in Situation are as great at least as ours. Those that arise from the Temper and Character of her People are a little different perhaps, and yet upon the Whole equivalent. Those of her Climate and her Soil are superior to ours, and indeed to those of any *European* Nation. The United Provinces have the same Advantages that we have in the Nature of their Government, more perhaps in the Temper and Character of their People, less to be sure in their Situation, Climate, and Soil. But without descending into a lower Detail of the Advantages and Disadvantages attending each of these Nations in Trade and Commerce, it is sufficient for my present purpose to observe, That *Great Britain* stands in a certain Middle between the other Two, with
Regard

Regard to the *Wealth* and *Power* arising from these Springs. A less Application, and a less constant one, to the improvement of these, may serve the Ends of *France*; a greater is necessary in this Country; and a greater still in *Holland*. The *French* may improve their natural Wealth and Power by the Improvement of Trade and Commerce. We can have no Wealth, no Power, by Consequence, as *Europe* is now constituted, without the Improvement of them, nor in any Degree but proportionably to this Improvement. The *Dutch* cannot subsist without them: They bring Wealth to other Nations, and are necessary to the well being of them, but they supply the *Dutch* with Food and Raiment, and are necessary even to their being.

The Result of what has been said is *in general*, that the Wealth and Power of all Nations depend much on their Trade and Commerce, and every Nation is like the Three I have mentioned, in different Circumstances of Advantage or Disadvantage in the Pursuit of this common Interest. A good Government, therefore

fore, and consequently the Government of a Patriot King, will be directed constantly to make the most of every Advantage that Nature has given, or Art can procure towards the Improvement of Trade and Commerce. And this is one of the principal Criteria, by which we are to judge whether Governors are in the true Interest of the People, or not?

It results *in particular*, that *Great Britain* might improve her Wealth and Power superior to that of any Nation who can be deemed her Rival, if the Advantages she has were as wisely cultivated as they may be, in the Reign of a Patriot King. To be convinced more thoroughly of this Truth a very short Process of Reasoning will suffice : Let any Man that has Knowledge enough first compare the Natural State of *Great Britain*, and of the United Provinces, and then their Artificial State together : That is, let him consider minutely the Advantages we have by the Situation, Extent, and Nature of our Island, over the Inhabitants of a few salt Marshes gain'd on the Sea, and hardly defended from it : And after that let him
consider

consider how nearly these Provinces have raised themselves to an Equality of Wealth and Power with the Kingdom of *Great Britain*. From whence arises this Difference of Improvement? It arises plainly from hence. The *Dutch* have been from the Foundation of their Common-wealth, a Nation of *Patriots* and *Merchants*. The Spirit of that People has not been diverted from these two Objects, The Defence of their Liberty, and the Improvement of their Trade and Commerce; which have been carry'd on by them with uninterrupted and unslacken'd Application, Industry, Order, and Oeconomy. In *Great Britain* the Case has not been the same in either Respect; but here we confine our selves to speak of the last alone.

Trade and Commerce (such as they were in those Days) had been sometimes, and in some Instances, before the Reign of *Queen Elizabeth* encouraged and improved: But the great Encouragements were given, the great Extensions and Improvements were made by that glorious Princess. To her we owe that Spirit of domestick and foreign Trade which is not quite

quite extinguished. It was she who gave that rapid Motion to our whole mercantile System which is not entirely ceased. They both flagged under her Successor; were not revived under his Son; were check'd, diverted, clogged, and interrupted, during our Civil Wars; and began to exert new Vigour after the Restoration in a long Course of Peace; but met with new Difficulties too from the confirmed Rivalry of the *Dutch*, and the growing Rivalry of the *French*. To One of these the Posthumous Character of *James* the First gave many scandalous Occasions, and the other was favoured by the Conduct of *Charles* the Second, who never was in the true Interest of the People he governed. From the Revolution to the Death of *Queen Anne*, however Trade and Commerce might be aided and encouraged in other Respects, they were necessarily subjected to Depredations Abroad, and over-loaded by Taxes at Home, during the Course of two great Wars. From the Accession of the late King to this Hour, in the midst of a full Peace, the Debts of the Nation continue much
the

the same, the Taxes have been encreased, and for eighteen years of this Time we have suffer'd continual Depredations from the most contemptible Maritime Power in *Europe*, that of *Spain*.

A Patriot King will neither neglect, nor sacrifice his Country's Interest. No other Interest, neither a Foreign nor a Domestick, neither a Publick nor a Private, will influence his Conduct in Government. He will not multiply Taxes wantonly, nor keep up those unnecessarily which Necessity has laid, that he may keep up Legions of Tax-gatherers. He will not continue National Debts by all sorts of political and other Profusion; nor more wickedly still, by a settled Purpose of oppressing and impoverishing the People, that he may with greater ease corrupt some, and govern the whole, according to the Dictates of his Passions and arbitrary Will. To give Ease and Encouragement to Manufactory at home, to assist and protect Trade abroad, to improve and keep in Heart the National Colonies, like so many Farms of the Mother-Country, will be principal and

R

constant

constant Parts of the Attention of such a Prince. The Wealth of the Nation he will most justly esteem to be his Wealth, the Power his Power, the Security and the Honour, his Security and Honour ; and by the very Means by which he promotes the two first he will wisely preserve the two last ; for by these Means and by these alone can the great Advantage of the *Situation* of this Kingdom be taken and improved.

III. *Great Britain* is an Island, and whilst Nations on the Continent are at immense Charge in maintaining their Barriers, and perpetually on their Guard, and frequently embroiled to extend or strengthen them, *Great Britain* may (if her Governours please) accumulate Wealth in maintaining hers ; make herself sure from Invasions, and be ready to invade others when her own immediate Interest or the general Interest of *Europe* require it ; of all which Queen *Elizabeth's* Reign is a memorable Example, and undeniable Proof.

III. What is the True Interest of *Great Britain*.

I said

I said the *general Interest* of *Europe*, because it seems to me that this alone should call our Councils off from an almost entire Application to their domestick and proper Business. Other Nations must watch over every Motion of their Neighbours, penetrate, if they can, every Design, foresee every minute Event, and take Part by some Engagement or other in almost every Conjuncture that arises. But as we cannot be easily nor suddenly attacked, and as we ought not to aim at any Acquisition of Territory on the Continent, it may be our Interest to watch the secret Workings of the several Councils abroad, to advise, and warn, to abet, and oppose, but it never can be our true Interest easily and officiously to enter into Engagements, much less into Action. Other Nations, like the *Velites* or light-armed Troops, stand foremost in the Field, and skirmish perpetually. When a great War begins, we ought to look on the Powers of the Continent to whom we incline, like the two first Lines, the *Principes* and *Haslati* of a Roman Army; and on ourselves, like the *Triarii* that are not to

charge with these Legions on every Occasion, but to be ready for the Conflict whenever the Fortune of the Day calls us to it, and the Sum of Things, or the *general Interest*, makes it necessary.

This is that *Post of Advantage and Honour*, which our singular Situation among the Powers of *Europe* determines us (or should determine us) to take, in all Disputes that happen on the Continent. If we neglect it, and dissipate our Strength on Occasions that touch us remotely or indirectly ; we are governed by Men who do not know the true Interest of this Island, or who have some other Interest more at Heart. If we adhere to it, so at least as to deviate little and seldom from it (as we shall do whenever we are wisely and honestly governed) then will this Nation make her proper Figure, and a great one it will be. By a continual Attention to improve her natural, that is her Maritime Strength, by collecting all her Forces within herself, and reserving them to be laid out on great Occasions, such as regard her immediate Interests and her Honour, or such as are truly important to the

the general System of Power in *Europe*; she may be the *Arbitrator* of *Differences*, the *Guardian* of *Liberty*, and the *Preserver* of that *Balance*, which has been so much talked of, and is so little understood.

“Are we never to be Soldiers? you will say. Yes, constantly, in such Proportion as is necessary for the Defence of good Government. To establish a military Force which none but bad Governours can want, is to establish Tyrannical Power in the King or in the Ministers; and may be wanted by the latter, when the former would be secure without his Army, if he broke his Minister. Occasionally too we must be Soldiers, and for Offence as well as Defence; but in Proportion to the Nature of the Conjuncture; considered always relatively to the Difference here insisted upon, between our Situation, our Interest, and the Nature of our Strength, compared with those of the other Powers of *Europe*; and not in Proportion to the Desires, or even to the Wants, of the Nations with whom we are confederated. Like other amphibious Animals, we must come occasionally

caſionally on Shore: But the Water is more properly our Element, and in it, like them, as we find our greateſt Security, ſo we exert our greateſt Force.

What I touch upon here very ſhortly, deſerves to be conſidered, and reconfidered, by every Man who has, or may have any Share in the Government of *Great Britain*. For we have not only departed too much from our true National Intereſt in this reſpect, but we have done ſo with the general Applauſe even of well-meaning Men, who did not diſcern that we waſted ourſelves by an improper Application of our Strength in Conjunctions, when we might have ſerved the common Cauſe far more uſefully, nay with entire Effect, by a proper Application of our natural Strength. There was ſomething more than this. Armies grew ſo much into Faſhion in time of War, among Men who meant well to their Country, that they who mean ill to it may keep them up in the profoundeſt Peace, till the Number of our Soldiers, in this Iſland alone, become almoſt double to that of our Seamen. That they are kept up againſt foreign Enemies cannot

cannot always be said with Colour. If they are kept for Shew, and are look'd on as the Play-things of Kings, they are ridiculous. If they are kept for any other Purpose whatever, they are too dangerous to be suffered. A Patriot King, supported by Ministers attached to the true Interest of their Country, can soon reform any Abuse of this kind, and save a great Part of the Expence, or apply it in a Manner preferable even to the saving it, to the Maintainance of a Body of three or four Thousand Marine Foot, and to the Charge of a Register of thirty or forty Thousand Seamen. And I will venture to say, that Thoughts like these, and Designs like these for the Honour and Interest of the Kingdom, will be entertained, whenever Men who have this Honour and Interest at Heart arise to Power.

AND now Sir, if you think the Principles and Measures of Conduct laid down in this Discourse, as necessary to constitute that
greatest

greatest and most noble of Human Beings, a Patriot King, sufficient to this Purpose; consider too how *easy* it is, or ought to be, to establish them in the Minds of Princes. They are founded on true Propositions, all of which are obvious, nay many of them are self-evident, and some of them are Objects even of intuitive Knowledge. They are confirmed by universal Experience. In a word, no Understanding can resist them, and none but the weakest can fail, or be misled, in the Application of them. To a Prince whose Heart is corrupt, it is in vain to speak, and for such a Prince I would not be thought to write. But if the Heart of a Prince be not corrupt, these Truths will find an easy Ingression thro' the Understanding to it. Consider again, what the sure, the necessary Effects of such Principles and Measures of Conduct must be, to the Prince, and to the People. On this Subject let your Imagination range, thro' the whole glorious Scene of a Patriot Reign: The Beauty of the Idea will give those Transports, which *Plato* imagined the Vision of Virtue would inspire, if Virtue could be seen. There
will

will be nothing in it unreasonable, nothing impracticable, nothing chimerical. What in Truth can be so lovely? what so venerable, as to contemplate a King on whom the Eyes of a whole People are fix'd, filled with Admiration, and glowing with Affection? A King, in the Temper of whose Government, like that of *Nerva*, things so seldom ally'd as Empire and Liberty are intimately mixed, co-exist together inseparably, and constitute one real Essence? What Spectacle can be presented to the View of the Mind so rare, so nearly Divine, as a King possessed of an Absolute Power, neither usurped by Fraud nor maintained by Force, but the genuine Effect of Esteem and of Confidence; the free Gift of Liberty, who finds her greatest Security in this Power, and would desire no other if the Prince on the Throne could be, what his People wish him to be, Immortal. A Prince, who infusing the Spirit of Liberty into his People, makes them deserve to be free; and who restoring and strengthening the Free Constitution of their Government, rewards them

S

for

for deserving it : As it is said of the Divinity, that he first infuses Grace to make Men virtuous, and then rewards them for being so. One who rescues them from those private Vices, which making them bad Men, introduce those publick Vices which make them bad Citizens ; and exalts them to that happiest State of Social Creatures, where Law and Reason, not Will and Passion, are the Rule of Government.

— *Volentes*

Per populos dat jura, viamq; affectat Olympi.

Peace and Prosperity will appear thro' his Country ; Joy in every Face, Content in every Heart ; a People un-oppressed, undisturbed, un-alarmed ; busy to improve their Private Properties, and the Publick Stock ; while their Fleets shall cover the Ocean, bringing home Wealth by the Returns of Industry, carrying Assistance or Terror abroad by the Direction of Wisdom, and asserting triumphantly thro' the World the Right and the Honour of *Great Britain*.

You

You Sir, may probably see those happy Days, and live to act in that glorious Scene. If you do, you will perhaps call to mind with some Tenderness of Sentiment, a deceased Friend, who desired Life for nothing so much, as to see a King of *Great Britain* the most Popular Man in his Country, and the *Patriot King* of an *United People*.

F I N I S.

6 JUL 67

LETTER III.

OF THE

PRIVATE LIFE

OF A

PRINCE.

A



Of the PRIVATE LIFE
of a PRINCE.

YOU observe, that among the several Heads under which I have consider'd the Character and Conduct of a Patriot King, I omitted to take notice of One, which you rightly judge not to be of the least Importance, tho' it may seem at first to concern Appearances rather than Realities, and to be nothing more than a Circumstance contained in, or implied by, the great Parts of the Character and Conduct of such a King. It is of his *Personal Behaviour*, of his Manner of living with other Men, and in a word, of his *Private Life*, that you desire me to speak.

A 2

Let

4 *Of the private Life*

Let me begin then by saying, That all the Decency and Grace (the *Bien seance* of the *French*, and *Decorum* of the *Latins*) which becomes this high Character, can never be reflected on this or on any Character, that is not founded in *Virtue*. But for want of this, a Character that is so, will lose at all times part of the Lustre belonging to it, and may be sometimes not a little mis-understood and under-valued. *Beauty* is not separable from *Health*, nor this *Lustre*, said the Stoicks, from *Virtue*: But as a Man may be *healthful* without being *handsome*, so he may be *virtuous* without being *amiable*.

There are certain finishing Strokes, a last Hand as we commonly say, to be given to all the Works of Art. When that is not given, we may see the Excellency of a general Design, and the Beauty of some particular Parts: A Judge of the Art may see further, he may allow for what is wanting, and discern the full Merit of a compleat Work in one that is imperfect. But vulgar Eyes will not be so struck; the Work will appear to them defective, and (as it is) unfinished:

finished: so that without knowing precisely what they dislike, they may admire, but they will not be pleased. Thus in Moral Characters, tho' every part be virtuous and great, or tho' the few and small Defects in it be concealed under the Blaze of those shining Qualities that compensate for them; yet is not this enough even in private Life: It is less so in publick Life, and still less so, in that of a Prince.

There is a certain *Species liberalis*, more easily understood than explained and felt than defined, that must be acquired and rendered habitual to him. A certain Propriety of Words and Actions, that result from their Conformity to Nature and Character, must always accompany him, and create an Air and Manner, that run uniformly thro' the whole Tenour of Conduct and Behaviour. This Air and Manner must be so far from any kind or degree of Affectation, that they cannot be attained except by him who is void of all Affectation. We may illustrate this to ourselves, and make it more sensible, by reflecting on the Conduct of good Dramatick

6 *Of the private Life*

mattick or Epick Writers. They draw the Characters which they bring on the Scene from Nature, they sustain them thro' the whole Piece, and make their Actors neither say nor do any thing that is not exactly proper to the Character each of them represents. *Oderint dum metuant*, came properly out of the Mouth of a Tyrant, but *Euripides* would never have given that execrable Sentence to *Minos* or *Æacus*.

A Man of Sense and Virtue both, will not fall into any great Impropriety of Character, or Indecency of Conduct. But he may slide or be surprized into small ones, from a thousand Reasons, and in a thousand Manners, which I shall not stay to enumerate. Against these therefore, Men who are incapable of falling into the others must be still on their guard, and no Men so much as Princes. When their Minds are filled and their Hearts warmed with *true Notions* of Government, when they *know their Duty*, and *love their People*, they will not fail, in the *great* Parts they are to act, in the Council, in the Field, and in all the arduous Affairs

fairs that belong to their kingly Office; at least they will not *begin* to fail by failing in *them*. But as they are Men, susceptible of the same Impressions, liable to the same Errors, and exposed to the same Passions, so they are likewise exposed to more and stronger Temptations, than others. Besides, the Elevation in which they are placed, as it gives them great Advantages, gives them great Disadvantages too, that often countervail the former. Thus for instance, a little *Merit* in a Prince is seen and felt by Numbers; it is multiplied as it were, and in proportion to this Effect his Reputation is raised by it. But then a little *Failing* is seen and felt by Numbers too; it is multiplied in the same manner, and his Reputation sinks in the same proportion.

I spoke above of Defects that may be concealed under the Blaze of great and shining Qualities. This may be the Case, as it has been that of some Princes. There goes a Tradition, that *Henry* the Fourth of *France* asked a *Spanish* Ambassador what Mistresses the King of *Spain* had? The Ambassador replied (like a formal Pedant)
That

8 *Of the private Life*

That his Master was a Prince who feared God, and had no Mistresses but the Queen. *Henry* the Fourth felt the Reflexion, and asked him in Return with some Contempt, "Whether his Master had not Virtues
" enough to cover one Vice?"

The Faults or Defects that may be thus covered or compensated, are (I think) those of the Man, rather than those of the King; such as arise from Constitution, and the *Natural* rather than the *Moral* Character; such as may be deemed accidental Starts of Passion, or accidental Remissness in some unguarded hours; Surprizes, if I may say so, of the Man on the King. When these happen seldom, and pass soon, they may be hid, like Spots in the Sun, but they are Spots still. He who has the Means of seeing them, will see them; and he who has not, may feel the Effects of them without knowing precisely the Cause. When they continue (for here is the Danger, because if they *continue* they will *increase*) they are Spots no longer, they spread a general Shade, and obscure the Light in which they were drowned
before

before. The Virtues of the King are lost in the Vices of the Man.

Alexander had violent Passions, and those for Wine and Women were predominant after his Ambition. They were *Spots* in his Character before they prevailed by the Force of Habit; as soon as they began to do so, the King and the Hero appeared less, the Rake and Bully more: *Persepolis* was burnt at the Instigation of *Thais*, and *Clytus* was killed in a drunken Brawl. He repented indeed of these two horrible Actions, and was again the King and Hero upon many Occasions: But he had not been enough on his Guard, when the strongest Incitements to Vanity and to sensual Pleasures offered themselves at every moment to him: and when he stood in all his easy hours surrounded by Women and Eunuchs, by the Pandars, Parasites, and Buffoons of a voluptuous Court, they who could not approach the King approach'd the Man, and by seducing the Man, they betrayed the King. His Faults became Habits: The *Macedonians* who did not or would not see the one, saw the other; and he fell a Sacrifice to their Re-

B

sentments,

sentments, to their Fears, and to those Factions that will arise under an odious Government, as well as under one that grows into Contempt.

Other Characters might be brought to Contraste with this. The first *Scipio Africanus* for Example, or the eldest *Cato*; (and there will be no Objection to a Comparison of such Citizens of *Rome* as these were, with Kings of the first Magnitude.) Now the Reputation of the first *Scipio* was not so clear and uncontroverted in *private* as in *publick* Life; nor was he allowed by all, to be a Man of such severe Virtue, as he affected, and as that Age required. *Nævius* was thought to mean him in some Verses *Gellius* has preserved, and *Valerius Antias* made no Scruple to assert, that far from returning the fair *Spaniard* to her Family, he debauched and kept her. Notwithstanding this, what Authority did he not maintain? in what Esteem and Veneration did he not live and die? with what Panegyricks has not the whole Torrent of Writers rolled down his Reputation even to these Days? This could not have happened, if the Vice im-
puted

puted to him had shewn it self in any scandalous Appearances, to eclipse the Lustre of the General, the Consul, or the Citizen. The same Reflexion might be extended to *Cato*, who loved Wine as well as the other loved Women. Men did not judge in those days, as *Seneca* was ready to do in his, That Drunkenness could be no Crime if *Cato* drank; but *Cato's* Passion, as well as that of *Scipio*, was subdued and kept under by his publick Character. His Virtue warmed instead of cooling, by this Indulgence to his Genius or natural Temper; and one may gather from what *Tully* puts into his mouth in the Treatise concerning old Age, that even his Love of Wine was rendered subservient, instead of doing hurt, to the Measures he pursued in his publick Character.

Give me leave to insist a little on the two first *Cæsars*, and on *Mark Anthony*. (I quote none of them as good Men, but I may quote them all as great Men, and therefore properly in this place; since a Patriot King must avoid the Defects that

diminish a great Character, as well as those that corrupt a good one.) Old *Curio* called *Julius Cæsar* the Husband of every Wife, and the Wife of every Husband, referring to his known Adulteries, and to the Compliances that he was suspected of in his Youth for *Nicomedes*. Even his own Soldiers in the Licence of a Triumph sung Lampoons on him for his Profusion as well as Lewdness. The Youth of *Augustus* was defamed as much as that of *Julius Cæsar*, and both as much as that of *Anthony*. When *Rome* was ransacked by the Pandars of *Augustus*, and Matrons and Virgins stripped and searched like Slaves in a Market, to choose the fittest to satisfy his Lust, did *Anthony* do more? When *Julius* set no Bounds to his Debauches in *Egypt* except those Satiety imposed, *postquam Epulis Bacchoq; modum lassata voluptas imposuit*, when he trifled away his Time with *Cleopatra* in the very Crisis of the Civil War, and till his Troops refused to follow him any further in his effeminate Progress up the *Nile* — did *Anthony* do more? No; all three had Vices which

which would have been so little born in any former Age of *Rome*, that no Man could have raised himself under the Weight of them to Popularity and to Power. But we must not wonder that the People who bore the *Tyrants*, bore the *Libertines*; nor that Indulgence was shewn to the Vices of the Great, in a City where universal Corruption and Profligacy of Manners were established: And yet even in this City, and among these degenerate *Romans*, certain it is that different Appearances, with the same Vices, helped to maintain the *Cæsars*, and ruined *Anthony*. I might produce many Anecdotes to shew how the two former saved Appearances whilst their Vices were the most flagrant, and made so much Amends for the Appearances they had not saved, by those of a contrary kind; that a great part at least of all which was said to defame them, might pass, and did pass, for the Calumny of Party.

But *Anthony* threw off all Decorum from the first, and continued to do so to the last. Not only *Vice* but *Indecency* became habitual to him. He ceased to be a General, a Consul, a Triumvir, a
Citizen

14 *Of the private Life*

Citizen of *Rome*: He became an *Egyptian* King, sunk into luxurious Effeminacy, and proved he was unfit to govern Men, by suffering himself to be governed by a Woman. His *Vices* hurt him, but his *Habits* ruin'd him. If a political Modesty at least had made him disguise the first, they would have hurt him less, and he might have escaped the last: but he was so little sensible of this, that in a Fragment of one of his Letters to *Augustus* which *Suetonius* has preserved, he endeavours to justify himself by pleading this very *Habit*. "What matter is it who we
" lie with? (says he) This Letter may
" find you perhaps with *Tertulla*, or
" *Terentilla*, or others that he names. I lie
" with *Cleopatra*, and have I not done so
" *these two Years*?"

These great Examples which I have produced may appear in some sort Figures bigger than the Life. Few Virtues and few Vices grow up, in these Parts of the World, and in these latter Ages, to the *Size* of those I have mentioned, and none have such *Scenes* wherein to exert themselves. But the Truths I am desirous
to

to inculcate will be as justly delivered in this manner, and perhaps more strongly felt. Failings or Vices that flow from the same Source of Human Nature, that run the same Course thro' the Conduct of Princes, and have the same Effects on their Characters, and consequently on their Government and their Fortune, have all the Proportion necessary to my Application of them. It matters little, whether a Prince who abandons that common Decorum which results from Nature, and which Reason prescribes, abandons the particular Decorums of this Country or that, of this Age or that, which result from Mode, and which Custom exacts. It matters little (for Instance) whether a Prince gives himself up to the more gross Luxury of the West, or to the more refined Luxury of the East; whether he become the Slave of a Domestick Harlot, or of a Foreign Queen; in short, whether he forget himself in the Arms of one Whore, or of Twenty; and whether he imitate *Anthony*, or a King of *Acbin*, who is reported to have passed his whole Time in a Seraglio, eating, drinking, chewing Betel,
playing

playing with Women, and talking of Cock-fighting.

To draw to a Conclusion ; This *Decency*, this *Grace*, this *Propriety of Manners to Character*, is so essential to Princes in particular, that whenever it is neglected, their Virtues lose a great Degree of Lustre and their Defects acquire much Aggravation. Nay more, by neglecting this Decency and this Grace, and for want of a sufficient Regard to Appearances, even their Virtues may betray them into Failings, their Failings into Vices, and their Vices into Habits, unworthy of Princes, and unworthy of Men.

The *Constitutions of Governments* and the different *Tempers* and Characters of *People*, may be thought justly to deserve some Consideration, in determining the Behaviour of Princes in private Life as well as in publick ; and to put a Difference (for instance) between the Decorum of a King of *France*, and that of a King of *Great Britain*.

Lewis the Fourteenth was King in an Absolute Monarchy, and reigned over a People whose Genius makes it fitter perhaps

haps to impose on them by Admiration and Awe, than to gain and hold them by Affection. Accordingly he kept great State ; was haughty, was reserved ; and all he said or did appeared to be forethought and plann'd. His Regard to Appearances was such, that when his Mistress was the Wife of another Man, and he had Children by her every year, he endeavoured to cover her constant Residence at Court by a Place she filled about the Queen : He dined and supped and cohabited with the latter in every apparent Respect as if he had no Mistress at all. Thus he raised a great Reputation : He was revered by his Subjects, and admired by his Neighbours ; and this was due principally to the Art with which he manag'd Appearances, so as to set off his Virtues, to disguise his Failings and his Vices, and by his Example and Authority to keep a Veil drawn over the Futility and Debauch of his Court.

His Successor, not to the Throne, but to the Sovereign Power, was a mere Rake, with some Wit, and no Morals ; nay with so little Regard to them, that he made

C

them

them a Subject of Ridicule in Discourse, and appeared in his whole Conduct more profligate, if that could be, than he was in Principle. The Difference between these Characters soon appeared in abominable Effects; such as (Cruelty apart) might recal the Memory of *Nero*, or in the other Sex, that of *Messalina*, and such as I leave the Chroniclers of Scandal to relate.

Our *Elizabeih* was Queen in a limited Monarchy, and reigned over a People at all times more easily led than driven; and at that time capable of being attached to their Prince and their Country, by a more generous Principle than any of those which prevail in our Days, by *Affection*. There was a strong *Prerogative* then in being, and the Crown was in Possession of greater Legal Power. Popularity was however then (as it is now, and as it must be always in mixed Government) the sole true Foundation of that sufficient Authority and Influence, which other Constitutions give the Prince *gratis*, and *independantly* of the People, but which a King of this Nation must *acquire*. The wise
Queen

Queen saw it, and she saw too how much Popularity depends on those Appearances, that depend on the Decorum, the Decency, the Grace, and the Propriety of Behaviour of which we are speaking. A warm Concern for the Interest and Honour of the Nation, a Tenderneſs for her People, and a Confidence in their Affections, were Appearances that run thro' her whole publick Conduct, and gave Life and Colour to it. She did great Things, and ſhe knew how to ſet them off according to their full Value, by her Manner of doing them. In her *private Behaviour* ſhe ſhewed great Affability, ſhe deſcended even to Familiarity, but her Familiarity was ſuch as could not be imputed to her *Weakneſs*, and was therefore moſt juſtly aſcribed to her *Goodneſs*. Tho' a Woman, ſhe hid all that was womanish about her; and if a few equivocal Marks of Coquetry appeared on ſome occasions, they paſſed like Flaſhes of Lightning, vaniſhed as ſoon as they were diſcerned, and imprinted no Blot on her Character. She had private *Friendſhips*, ſhe had *Favourites*: But ſhe never ſuffered

her *Friends* to forget she was their *Queen*, and when her *Favourites* did, she made them feel that she was so.

Her Successor, *James* the First, had no Virtues to set off, but he had Failings and Vices to conceal. He could not conceal the latter; and void of the former, he could not compensate for them. His Failings and his Vices therefore standing in full View, he passed for a weak Prince and an ill Man; and fell into all the Contempt wherein his Memory remains to this day. The Methods he took to preserve himself from it, served but to confirm him in it. No Man can keep the Decorum of Manners in Life, who is not free from every kind of *Affectation*, as it has been said already: But he who affects what he has no Pretensions to, or what is improper to his Character and Rank in the World, is guilty of most consummate Folly: He becomes doubly ungracious, doubly indecent, and quite ridiculous. *James* the First not having one Quality to conciliate the Esteem or Affection of his People to him, endeavoured to impose on their Understandings; and to create a Respect for himself, by spreading

ing the most extravagant Notions about *Kings* in general, as if they were *middle Beings*, between God and other Men; and by comparing the Extent and unfearchable Mysteries of their *Power* and *Prerogative*, to those of the Divine Providence. His *Language* and his *Behaviour* were commonly suited to such foolish Pretensions; and thus by assuming a Claim to such Respect and Submission as were not due to him, he lost a great Part of what was due to him. In short he begun at the wrong End; for tho' the shining Qualities of the King may cover some Failings and some Vices that do not grow up to strong Habits in the Man, yet must the Character of a *great* and *good King* be founded in that of a *great* and *good Man*. A King who lives out of the Sight of his Subjects, or is never seen by them except on his Throne, can scarce be despised as a Man, tho' he may be hated as a King. But the King who lives more in their Sight, and more under their Observation, may be despised before he is hated, and even without being hated. This happened to King *James*: A thousand Circumstances brought it to pass, and
none

none more than the *Indecent Weaknesses* he had for his *Minions*. He did not endeavour to cure this Contempt and raise his Character only by affecting what he had no Pretensions to, as in the former Case; but he endeavoured likewise most vainly to do it by affecting what was improper to his Character and Rank. He did not endeavour indeed to disguise his natural *Puflanimity* and *Timidity* under the Mask of a Bully, nor wear a long Sword, look fierce, and talk big, whilst he was imposed upon and insulted by all his Neighbours, and above all by the *Spaniards*; but he retailed the Scraps of *Buchanan*, affected to talk much, figured in Church-Controversies, and put on all the pedantick Appearances of a Scholar, whilst he neglected all those of a great and good Man, as well as King.

Let not Princes flatter themselves; they will be examined closely in *private* as well as in *publick Life*; and those who cannot pierce further will judge of them by the Appearances they give in both. To obtain true Popularity, that which is founded in Esteem and Affection, they must therefore

fore maintain their Characters in *both*; and to that End neglect Appearances in neither, but observe the *Decorum* necessary to preserve the Esteem, whilst they win the Affections, of Mankind. *Kings*, they must never forget that they are *Men : Men*, they must never forget that they are *Kings*. The Sentiments which one of these Reflexions of course inspires, will give an humane and affable Air to their whole Behaviour, and make them taste in that high Elevation all the Joys of social Life. The Sentiments that the other Reflexion suggests, will be found very compatible with the former ; and they may never forget that they are *Kings*, tho' they do not always carry the Crown on their Heads, nor the Scepter in their Hands. *Vanity* and *Folly* must entrench themselves in a constant Affectation of State to preserve regal Dignity : A *wise* Prince will know how to preserve it when he lays his Majesty aside. He will *dare* to appear a private Man, and in that Character he will draw to himself a Respect less ostentatious, but more real and more agreeable to him, than any which is paid to the Monarch. By never
saying

saying what is unfit for him to say, he will never *hear* what is unfit for him to hear. By never *doing* what is unfit for him to do, he will never *see* what is unfit for him to see. Decency and Propriety of Manners are so far from lessening the Pleasures of Life, that they refine them, and give them an higher Taste : They are so far from restraining the free and easy Commerce of social Life, that they banish the Bane of it, Licentiousness of Behaviour. *Ceremony* is the Barrier against this Abuse of Liberty in publick : *Politeness* and *Decency* are so in private ; and the Prince who practices and exacts them, will amuse himself much better, and oblige those who have the Honour to be in his Intimacy and to share his Pleasures with him, much more, than he could possibly do by the most *absolute* and *unguarded Familiarity*.

That which is here recommended to Princes, that constant Guard on their own Behaviour even in private Life, and that constant Decorum which their Example ought to exact from others, will not be found so difficult in Practice as may be imagined ;

imagined; if they use a proper Discernment in the *Choice* of the *Persons* whom they admit to the nearest Degrees of Intimacy with them. A Prince shou'd chuse his *Companions* with as great Care as his *Ministers*. If he trusts the *Business* of his State to these, he trusts his *Character* to those. Not only general Experience will lead Men to judge, that a Similitude of Character determin'd it; but if Chance, Indulgence to Affiduity, Good-nature, or Want of Reflexion had their Share in the Introduction of Men unworthy of such Favour, certain it is, that they who judged wrong at first concerning him, will judge right at last; I mean that the Minds of Princes like the Minds of other Men, will be *brought down* insensibly to the Tone of the Company they keep. They are not *Triflers* for Instance: Be it so: But if they take Men of mean Characters, or of no Characters, into their Intimacy, they shew a Disposition to *become* such; unless they break those Habits *early*, and before puerile Amusements are grown up to be the Business of their Lives.

D

A worse

26 *Of the private Life*

A worse Consequence even than this, may follow a Want of Discernment in Princes how to chuse their Companions, and how to conduct themselves in private Life. Silly Kings have resigned themselves to their *Ministers*, have suffered these to stand between them and their People, and have formed no Judgments, nor taken any Measures on their own Knowledge, but all implicitly on the Representations made to them by those Ministers. Kings of superior Capacity have resigned themselves in the same Manner to their *Favourites*, Male and Female, have suffered these to stand between them and their most able and faithful Counsellors ; their Judgments have been influenced, and their Measures directed by Insinuations of Women, or of Men as little fitted as Women by Nature and Education, to be hearkened to in the great Affairs of Government. History is full of such Examples ; all melancholy, many tragical ! Sufficient, if attended to (one would imagine) to deter Princes from permitting the Companions of their idle Hours, or the Instruments of their Pleasures, to exceed the Bounds of those Provinces.

Provinces. Should a Minister of State pretend to vie with any of these, about the Forms of a *Drawing Room*, the Regulation of a *Ruelle*, the Decoration of a *Ball*, or the Dress of a *fine Lady*, he would be thought ridiculous, and he would be truly so. But then are not any of these impertinent, when they pretend to meddle in Things at least as much *above* them, as those that have been mentioned are *below* the others? And are not Princes who suffer them to do so, unaccountably weak?

What shall I say further on this Head? nothing more is necessary. Let me wind it up therefore by asserting this great Truth, that results from what has been already said. As He can never fill the Character of a Patriot King, tho' his personal great and good Qualities be in every other Respect equal to it, who lies open to the Flattery of *Courtiers*, to the Seduction of *Women*, and to the Partialities and Affections which are easily contracted by too great Indulgence in Private Life; so the Prince who is desirous to establish this Character, must observe such a Decorum, and keep such a Guard on himself

28 *Of the private Life, &c.*

self, as may prevent even the *Suspicion* of being liable to such Influences. For as the Reality would ruin, the very Suspicion will lessen him in the Opinion of Mankind ; and the Opinion of Mankind, which is *Fame* after Death, is superior *Strength* and *Power* in Life.

F I N I S.

6 JUL 67

